

MORBIDITY AND MORTALITY

WEEKLY REPORT

- 245 Use of Hospital Discharge Data to Monitor Uterine Rupture — Massachusetts, 1990–1997
- 248 Imported Dengue United States, 1997 and 1998
- 253 Progress Toward Poliomyelitis Eradication — Democratic Republic of Congo, 1996–1999
- 258 Public Opinion About Public Health United States, 1999
- 261 Notices to Readers

# Use of Hospital Discharge Data to Monitor Uterine Rupture — Massachusetts, 1990–1997

Uterine rupture (UR), a potentially life-threatening condition for both mother and infant, occurs in <0.1% of all pregnant women and <1% of women attempting vaginal birth after cesarean section (VBAC) (1–4). During 1990–1997, the proportion of vaginal deliveries among women who had previous cesarean sections (CS) in Massachusetts increased 50%, from 22.3% to 33.5% (5). Concern about a corresponding increase in UR prompted the Massachusetts Department of Public Health and CDC to initiate a statewide investigation that included an assessment of the validity and reliability of *International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification* (ICD-9-CM) (6), codes in hospital discharge data to identify UR cases. This report summarizes the results of the investigation, which indicate that ICD-9-CM codes related to UR, designed before increased concern about UR, lack adequate specificity for UR surveillance and have not been applied consistently over time.

Using 1990–1997 state hospital discharge data, suspected UR cases were identified based on three ICD-9-CM diagnostic codes (6). Suspected cases were defined as women discharged from Massachusetts hospitals from 1990 through 1997 with an ICD-9-CM diagnostic code in any of the 10 diagnostic fields of 665.0 ("rupture of uterus before onset of labor"), 665.1 ("rupture of uterus during labor," including "rupture of uterus not otherwise specified"), or 674.1 ("disruption of cesarean wound," including "dehiscence or disruption of uterine wound"). Women with and without a history of CS were included. The four-digit ICD-9 codes 665.0 and 665.1 are contained within the larger three-digit category of code 665, "other obstetrical trauma," that also includes "damage from instruments." In addition, the ICD-9-CM index directs coders to use 665.1 for "laceration of the uterus, obstetrical trauma not elsewhere classifiable (NEC)," a frequent incidental complication that occurs during delivery of the fetus through the uterine incision.

To identify cases of UR, hospital medical records of suspected cases, including registration sheets, discharge summaries, and surgical reports, were obtained and reviewed by two clinicians to confirm a UR. UR was defined as any unintentional disruption of the uterine wall in a pregnant woman regardless of cause, size, degree of severity, or location and was described in the hospital chart as a rupture, dehiscence, separation, window, or rent. URs occurring in women with and without prior CS scars were included. Incidental extensions or lacerations of a uterine incision during a CS, postpartum separation of the uterine scar resulting from infection, or extremely thin lower uterine segments without disruption of the uterine wall were not considered URs. Positive predictive

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH & HUMAN SERVICES

## Uterine Rupture — Continued

values (PPVs) were calculated as the number of confirmed cases divided by the number of reviewed suspected cases multiplied by 100. PPVs were calculated for codes 665.0 and 665.1 combined, for code 674.1, and for all three codes combined, by year and overall.

From 1990 through 1997, 1244 suspected cases were identified. Of these, 608 (48.8%) had ICD-9-CM code 665.0 or 665.1, 629 (50.5%) had code 674.1, and seven (1.0%) were coded with both 665.1 and 674.1 (Table 1). Of the 1207 (97.0%) hospital records that were reviewed, 480 (39.8%) cases were confirmed as URs. Among the confirmed cases of UR, 442 (92.1%) occurred among women with at least one previous CS, 33 (6.9%) among women with an unscarred uterus, and five (1.0%) among women who had another type of uterine scar (e.g., myomectomy).

The average PPV during the 8-year period was 50.7% for ICD-9-CM codes 665.0 and 665.1 and 28.6% for code 674.1. The overall PPV of the three codes was 39.8%. The number of suspected UR cases coded with 665.0 or 665.1 increased steadily from 1990 through 1997. However, the number of confirmed cases and PPV increased during 1990–1994, but from 1994 through 1997 the number fluctuated while PPV declined. The number of suspected and confirmed cases and the PPV of ICD-9-CM code 674.1 remained relatively stable during the same time period.

Of the 726 suspected cases confirmed as nonruptures, 694 (95.6%) of the charts contained enough information to identify a reason for the use of one of the three diagnostic codes for UR. Codes were used correctly in 81.3% of the nonrupture charts to record a condition that falls within the ICD-9-CM definitions. Among the 19.7% of records where the codes were not used correctly, 14.0% were miscoded (i.e., a condition was recorded that should have been coded with a different ICD code), 4.0% were data entry errors, and 0.6% could not be categorized because no condition mentioned in the chart appeared to be related to one of the three ICD-9-CM codes (Table 2).

Reported by: J Weiss, ScD, A Nannini, PhD, S Fogerty, MEd, Bur of Family and Community Health, Massachusetts Dept of Public Health; B Sachs, MD, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical

	ICD-9-CN	/I 665.0 and (	665.1		CD-9-CM 674.	1	Total <sup>§</sup>		
Year	Suspected	Confirmed	<b>PPV</b> <sup>¶</sup>	Suspected	Confirmed	PPV	Suspected Confirmed		PPV
1990	67	26	39.4	87	17	20.5	154	43	28.9
1991	68	19	28.4	78	24	29.3	144	41	29.3
1992	70	27	39.7	82	21	26.6	152	48	32.7
1993	73	33	47.8	91	24	27.5	162	55	37.2
1994	72	48	67.6	76	27	35.5	148	75	51.0
1995	81	52	64.2	75	22	28.8	154	73	48.0
1996	83	44	53.7	80	26	33.3	163	70	43.8
1997	101	57	57.0	67	18	27.7	167	75	45.7
Total	615	306	50.7	636	179	28.6	1244	480	39.8

TABLE 1. Number of suspected and confirmed cases of uterine rupture and positive predictive value (PPV) of *International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification* (ICD-9-CM), codes 665.0 and 665.1\* and 674.1<sup>+</sup>, by year — Massachusetts, 1990–1997

\*Rupture of the uterus before onset or during labor, including rupture of uterus not otherwise specified.

<sup>†</sup>Disruption of cesarean wound, including dehiscence or disruption of uterine wound.

<sup>§</sup> Seven suspected cases were coded with ICD-9-CM 665.1 and 674.1, of which five were confirmed as a uternine rupture.

<sup>¶</sup>PPV based on number of hospital records reviewed (n=1207 [97.0%]).

#### Uterine Rupture — Continued

Year	Co	Correct <sup>+</sup>		Miscode <sup>s</sup>		Error <sup>1</sup>		rmined**		
	No.	(%)	No.	(%)	No.	(%)	No.	(%)	Total	
1990	93	(87.7)	9	(8.6)	3	(2.9)	1	(1.0)	106	
1991	78	(78.8)	14	(14.1)	6	(6.1)	1	(1.0)	99	
1992	86	(86.9)	7	(7.1)	5	(5.1)	1	(1.0)	99	
1993	78	(83.9)	13	(14.0)	2	(2.2)	0	_	93	
1994	42	(68.9)	16	(26.2)	3	(4.9)	0	_	61	
1995	52	(83.9)	8	(12.9)	2	(3.2)	0	_	62	
1996	67	(78.8)	11	(12.9)	6	(7.1)	1	(1.2)	85	
1997	68	(76.4)	19	(21.3)	2	(2.2)	0	_	89	
Total	564	(81.3)	97	(14.0)	29	(4.2)	4	(0.6)	694	

TABLE 2. Number and percentage of cases without uterine rupture*, by reaso	วท
for use of International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Mod	di-
fication (ICD-9-CM) code, by year — Massachusetts, 1990–1997	

\* Includes one confirmed nonrupture that was coded with ICD-9-CM 665.1 and 674.1, and excludes 70 records that did not contain enough information to classify (n=32) or have not been received (n=38).

<sup>†</sup> Correct use of code based on ICD-9-CM definitions (i.e., disruption of uterine wound, extension or laceration of uterine incision, or instrument damage).

<sup>§</sup> Incorrect use of code (e.g., laceration of cervix).

<sup>1</sup> Codes on registration sheet do not match codes in hospital discharge data.

\*\* No mention of any condition in chart that would indicate why either ICD-9-CM 665.1 or 674.1 was used.

Center; F Frigoletto, MD, D Roberts, MD, Massachusetts General Hospital; S Ringer, MD, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston; S DeJoy, CNM, JP O'Grady, MD, Baystate Medical Center, Springfield; G Kraus, MD, Anna Jaques Hospital, Newburyport; J Weber, CNM, Midwives of the Merrimack Valley, North Andover, Massachusetts. Div of Reproductive Health, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion; Div of Applied Public Health Training, Epidemiology Program Office; and an EIS Officer, CDC.

**Editorial Note**: Administrative information, such as hospital discharge data, is often used for surveillance purposes. This study indicates that hospital discharge data alone cannot be used to monitor trends in UR because ICD-9-CM codes lack the required specificity and consistency in application. However, even though the PPV of codes 665.0 and 665.1 was higher than the PPV of code 674.1, the number of URs would have been undercounted by one third without including records with diagnostic code 674.1.

The purpose of the ICD-9 and the ICD-9-CM classification systems is to place conditions into relevant categories for statistical purposes (*6*,*7*). ICD-9-CM is adapted from ICD-9, which was published in 1977 before concern about rising CS rates. It was not designed to monitor UR as a complication of labor; therefore, the low overall PPVs can be explained by including other conditions in ICD-9-CM codes 665.0, 665.1, and 674.1. Reasons for the decline in the PPV of ICD-9-CM codes 665.0 and 665.1 during 1994–1997 are unclear but may represent changes in coding practices, an actual shift in clinical outcomes, or a combination of both. Coding practices may have been affected by obstetric coding guidelines issued in 1995 by CDC's National Center for Health Statistics to standardize the application of ICD-9-CM codes across facilities, and by changes in obstetric reimbursement policies that may have encouraged more extensive reporting. Clinical outcomes may have been affected by a decline in the proportion of births delivered by CS and an increase in VBACs.

International Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems, 10th Revision (ICD-10) was published in 1992, also before increased concern about URs, and is scheduled to replace ICD-9-CM for coding of morbidity in 2005. However, ICD-10 does not

### Uterine Rupture — Continued

address the lack of specificity of codes to identify UR cases accurately (8). Future revisions to ICD-10 and ICD-10-CM should include a code specifically for "uterine rupture associated with previous CS scar."

An alternate data source for monitoring URs will be the revised national standard certificate of live birth, scheduled to go into use in 2003. It will contain a checklist for maternal morbidity including UR. This data source will need to be validated for its sensitivity and specificity through medical records review.

VBAC generally is considered safe practice, and 75% of women attempting a VBAC are successful (2). However, the greatest risk factor for UR is labor among women with a previous CS. The findings in this report indicate that the number of URs increased from 1990 to 1994, with a notable increase from 1993 to 1994. This pattern is similar to the change in the proportion of VBACs among women with a previous CS. Data to estimate the frequency of VBAC attempts are unavailable; therefore, the risk for UR among women attempting VBAC is unknown.

The incidence of UR may have been higher than that reported in this study. The negative predictive value of the three diagnostic codes is unknown because the probability that persons who were not reported to have a UR were free of UR could not be ascertained. In addition, the severity of UR varies from inconsequential to catastrophic; therefore, minor cases may remain clinically undetected and unreported. The need to monitor and assess the competing risks for morbidity associated with different methods of delivery will continue to be important.

#### References

- 1. Helewa M. Rupture of the pregnant uterus: the evidence from this decade on risk factors, predictability and prognosis. J Soc Obstet Gynaecol Can 1999;21:864–73.
- Rageth J, Juzi C, Grossenbacher H. Delivery after previous cesarean: a risk evaluation. Swiss Working Group of Obstetric and Gynecologic Institutions. Obstet Gynecol 1999;93:332-7.
- 3. Flamm BL, Goings JR, Liu Y, Wolde-Tsadik G. Elective repeat cesarean delivery versus trial of labor: a prospective multicenter study. Obstet Gynecol 1994;83:927–32.
- 4. Farmer R, Kirsschbaum T, Potter D, Strong H, Medearis A. Uterine rupture during trial of labor after previous cesarean section. Am J Gynecol 1991;165:996–1001.
- 5. Zhang Z, Cohen BB, Averbach AB. Advance data births: 1997. Boston: Massachusetts Department of Public Health, 1999.
- Public Health Service and Health Care Financing Administration. International classification of diseases, 9th revision, clinical modification. Washington, DC: Public Health Service, 1997.
- 7. World Health Organization. International classification of diseases, injuries and causes of death, ninth revision. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization, 1977.
- 8. World Health Organization. International classification of diseases and related health problems, 10th revision. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization, 1993.

# Imported Dengue — United States, 1997 and 1998

Dengue is a mosquito-transmitted acute viral disease caused by one of four dengue virus serotypes (DEN-1, DEN-2, DEN-3, and DEN-4). Dengue is endemic in most tropical areas of the world and has occurred in U.S. residents returning from travel to such areas. CDC maintains a laboratory-based passive surveillance system for imported dengue among U.S. residents. The system relies principally on reports by clinicians to state

## Imported Dengue — Continued

health departments, which forward patient specimens to CDC for diagnostic testing. This report summarizes information about imported dengue cases among U.S. residents for 1997 and 1998, which indicates that most persons with a known travel history probably acquired infection in the Caribbean islands or Asia.

Serum samples from 349 persons who had suspected dengue based on clinical presentation and onset of symptoms (1) in 1997 and 1998 were submitted to CDC from 40 states and the District of Columbia. From these samples, 143 (38%) cases were laboratory diagnosed as dengue, 133 (93%) cases had IgM antibody in early convalescent samples or single high titers of IgG antibody in acute serum samples, and 10 (7%) cases had isolation of dengue virus. In three cases, positive by detection of anti-dengue IgM antibody, virus serotype was identified by polymerase chain reaction (PCR). Overall, DEN-4 was identified in five (39%) cases, DEN-2 in four (31%) cases, and DEN-1 and DEN-3 in two (15%) cases each (Table 1). Dengue diagnosis was negative in 129 (37%) patients and indeterminate in 77 (22%) patients because convalescent samples for serologic testing were unavailable.

Of the 143 persons with laboratory-diagnosed dengue, sex was known for 130; 65 (50%) were males. Age was reported for 99 persons and ranged from age <1–70 years (median: 34 years). States reporting the highest number of cases were Florida (12) in 1997 and New York (22) in 1998. Travel histories within the 2 weeks before illness, available for 122 persons, indicated that infections probably were acquired in the Caribbean islands (61 cases), Asia (30), Central America (23), South America (four), Africa (three), and the Pacific islands (one). In 1998, 90 laboratory-diagnosed cases were reported, a 70% increase from the 53 cases reported in 1997. Among the 90 cases, 35 (39%) persons reported traveling to the Caribbean islands in 1998 compared with 14 (26%) in 1997.

Clinical information was available for 85 patients with laboratory-diagnosed dengue. Commonly reported symptoms were fever (94%), headache (69%), myalgia (53%), rash (53%), arthralgia (32%), retro-orbital pain (27%), nausea or vomiting (25%), chills (24%), diarrhea (19%), and petechiae or ecchymoses (15%). At least seven patients were hospitalized, and one patient died (diagnosed with DEN-2 by immunohistochemistry on autopsy tissue).

# Reported by: State and territorial health departments. Infectious Disease Pathology Activity, Div of Viral and Rickettsial Diseases; Dengue Br, Div of Vector-Borne Infectious Diseases, National Center for Infectious Diseases, CDC.

**Editorial Note:** The principal vector of dengue is the mosquito *Aedes aegypti*, which has a wide distribution in most tropical and subtropical areas. In the United States, *Ae. aegypti* can be found during summer months in many states. Most U.S. residents with dengue become infected during travel to tropical areas, although autochthonous transmission of dengue was documented in Texas in 1999 (*2,3*).

The incubation period of dengue is 4–7 days (range: 3–14 days). Dengue virus infection can be asymptomatic or cause illnesses ranging from mild undifferentiated fever to severe disease, including hemorrhagic manifestations and shock (4). Dengue hemorrhagic fever (DHF) is characterized by fever, minor or major bleeding phenomena, thrombocytopenia ( $\leq$ 100,000 platelets/mm<sup>3</sup>), and evidence of increased vascular permeability (e.g., hemoconcentration [hematocrit increased by at least 20% from baseline], pleural or abdominal effusions, or hypoproteinemia) (4). Dengue shock syndrome (DSS) is DHF with signs of circulatory failure, including narrow pulse pressure ( $\leq$ 20 mmHg), hypotension, or shock, and may result in death rates of approximately 10% (5).

			1997 Cases			1998 Cases
State	Suspected	Laboratory diagnosed	Travel history, if known, of persons with laboratory-diagnosed dengue (serotype, if known)	Suspected	Laboratory diagnosed	Travel history, if known, of persons with laboratory-diagnosed dengue (serotype, if known)
Alabama	0	0		1	0	
Alaska	0	0		1	1	Jamaica
Arizona	1	0		1	1	Thailand
Arkansas	0	0		2	2	Honduras; Philippines and Hong Kong (DEN-3)
California	4	2	Tahiti; Guatemala	2	1	-
Colorado	7	1	Tahiti	8	4	Singapore; Japan; India
Delaware	0	0		1	1	Puerto Rico
District	3	0		10	0	
of Columbia						
Florida*	26	12	Haiti (three cases, one DEN-4); Colombia (two cases, one DEN-2) Venezuela (DEN-1 by PCR <sup>†</sup> ); Nicaragua (DEN-3 by PCR); Puerto Rico (DEN-2 by PCR); Thailand; Barbados (two cases)	8 ;	6	Puerto Rico (three cases); St. Croix; Bahamas
Georgia	5	1	Honduras	11	1	Honduras
Hawaii	5	5	Tahiti; Tonga; Philippines	11	3	
llinois	4	2	Haiti; Thailand	0	0	
owa	0	0		2	1	Vietnam
Kansas	2	0		1	0	
Maine	0	0		2	1	Brazil
Maryland	15	6	Indonesia; Haiti; Barbados (three cases); Thailand	10	8	Puerto Rico (two cases); El Salvador (two cases); Indonesia; Bangladesh (two cases); Dominican Republic
Vassachusetts	14	1	Mexico	25	12	Colombia; Philippines; Puerto Rico (three cases, one DEN-4); Thailand; El Salvador; Grenadine Islands; St. Croix
Michigan	6	4	Mexico	3	1	Nicaragua
Minnesota	2	1	Puerto Rico	6	3	Philippines (two cases): Thailand

IABLE 1. Suspected and laboratory-diagnosed cases of imported dengue, by state -	– United States,	1997 and 1998
--	------------------	---------------

250

Mississippi	1	1	Honduras	1	0		'n
Montana	2	0		2	1	Puerto Rico	ηp
North Carolina	8	4	Japan; Mexico (two cases)	3	1	Puerto Rico (DEN-1)	orte
New Hampshire	0	0		0	0		ed
New Jersey	0	0		1	1	Puerto Rico	D∈
New Mexico	0	0		3	0		อนเ
New York	14	7	Philippines; Guatemala; Colombia; Barbados	35	22	Barbados; Honduras; Philippines; Puerto Rico (seven cases, two DEN-4) Dominican Republic (three cases); El Salvador; Haiti; Guatemala; Thailand; South Africa; India; St. Martin (one fatal case, DEN-2); one case (DEN-4) with unknown travel history	tue — Continued
Ohio	4	1		5	3	Haiti (two cases); Thailand	
Oklahoma	1	0		2	2	Nicaragua; Brazil	
Oregon	8	2	Indonesia	11	2	Thailand; Indonesia	
Pennsylvania	5	1	Ecuador	2	0		
Rhode Island	1	0		1	1	Puerto Rico	
South Carolina	1	0		0	0		
Tennessee	2	0		1	0		
Texas⁵	1	0		0	0		
Utah	0	0		2	0		
Vermont	0	0		1	1	Belize	
Virginia	0	0		2	0		
Washington	4	1	One case (DEN-2) with unknown travel history	12	4	Ethiopia; Thailand (two cases); India	
Wisconsin	3	1	Barbados	10	5	Dominican Republic; El Salvador (two cases): Philippines: Nicaragua	
Wyoming	0	0		1	1	Puerto Rico	
Total	149	53		200	90		

\*Conducted active surveillance from April 1, 1997, to March 31, 1998.

<sup>†</sup> Polymerase chain reaction.

<sup>8</sup> The Texas laboratory-based surveillance system detected 16 serologically documented cases during 1997–1998, which were not included in this report; six (38%) diagnoses were based on results from commercial laboratories. Among the 16 cases, four occurred in patients who had no history of international travel.

#### Imported Dengue — Continued

From 1993 through 1998, the number of imported laboratory-diagnosed U.S. cases increased, reflecting the impact of travel and the occurrence of epidemic activity, especially in the Caribbean and Central America. In 1998, laboratory-diagnosed cases of dengue were more than double the number reported in 1997. This pattern is consistent with the increased number of cases of dengue/DHF in the Americas for 1998 (741,794) compared with 1997 (364,945) (*6*).

The findings in this report are subject to at least two limitations. First, the number of dengue cases referred to CDC for diagnosis represents a minimum estimate of the actual number of U.S. travelers with dengue fever or its complication, DHF or DSS. Because dengue is not a nationally notifiable disease, diagnostic samples may not be sent for testing or may be sent to laboratories other than CDC; therefore, many imported cases may not be counted. For example, Florida implemented an active laboratory-based surveillance system from April 1, 1997, through March 31, 1998, which resulted in an increased detection of laboratory-positive cases from a previous 30-year annual mean of 1.4 cases to 18 cases during this period (7); five of the 18 cases were reported from private clinical laboratories. Second, travel histories and clinical information were not available for all persons with dengue, and they may not be representative of all persons with imported dengue.

Persons traveling to areas where dengue is endemic should avoid exposure to mosquitoes by using repellents, wearing protective clothing, and remaining in well-screened or air-conditioned areas. No vaccine is available for preventing dengue infection. The *Ae. aegypti* mosquito is well adapted to urban environments and can be found in or near human dwellings, where the mosquito can be found in closets, bathrooms, behind curtains, and under beds. The species usually bites during the early morning and late afternoon, but may feed at any time during the day when indoors or during overcast periods (8).

With an increase in traveling to and from endemic areas, more cases of imported dengue may be expected and health-care providers should consider dengue in the differential diagnosis of illness for all patients who have fever and a history of travel to tropical areas within 2 weeks before the onset of symptoms. Supportive measures should be given, and only acetaminophen is recommended for management of pain and fever. Acetylsalicylic acid (i.e., aspirin) and other nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory agents are contraindicated because of their anticoagulant properties. Acute-phase and convalescent-phase serum samples should be obtained for viral isolation and diagnosis and sent for confirmation through state or territorial health departments to CDC's Dengue Branch, Division of Vector-Borne Infectious Diseases, National Center for Infectious Diseases, 2 Calle Casia, San Juan, PR 00921–3200; telephone (787) 766-5181; fax (787) 766-6596. Serum samples should be accompanied by a summary of clinical and epidemiologic information, including date of onset of disease, date of collection of sample, and a detailed recent travel history.

#### References

- CDC. Case definitions for infectious conditions under public health surveillance. MMWR 1997;46(no. RR-10):45–6.
- Rigau-Pérez JG, Gubler DJ, Vorndam AV, Clark GG. Dengue: a literature review and case study of travelers from the United States, 1986–1994. J Travel Med 1997;4:65–71.
- Rawlings J, Hendricks K, Burgess C, et al. Dengue surveillance in Texas, 1995. Am J Trop Med Hyg 1998;59:95–9.

## Imported Dengue — Continued

- 4. World Health Organization. Dengue haemorrhagic fever: diagnosis, treatment, prevention and control. 2nd ed. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization, 1997.
- Tassniyom S, Vasanawathana S, Chirawatkul A, Rojanasuphot S. Failure of high-dose methylprednisolone in established dengue shock syndrome: a placebo-controlled, doubleblind study. Pediatrics 1993;92:111–5.
- Pinheiro FP, Corber SJ. Global situation of dengue and dengue hemorrhagic fever, and its emergence in the Americas. World Health Stat Q 1997;50:161–9.
- Gill J, Stark LM, Clark GG. Dengue surveillance in Florida, 1997–98. Emerg Infect Dis 2000;6: 30–5.
- 8. CDC. Biology and control of *Aedes aegypti*. Atlanta, Georgia: US Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, CDC, 1979:7,13 (Vector topics no. 4).

# Progress Toward Poliomyelitis Eradication — Democratic Republic of Congo, 1996–1999

In 1988, the World Health Assembly resolved to eradicate poliomyelitis by December 31, 2000 (1). Although progress has been extraordinary (2), full implementation of polio eradication strategies has been delayed in several countries affected by war. The Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC) has experienced continual armed conflict since October 1996. As a result, DRC is the last country in the African Region of the World Health Organization (WHO) to implement National Immunization Days (NIDs\*). DRC is an important global reservoir for wild poliovirus and shares more than 5580 miles (9000 km) of border with nine countries<sup>†</sup>; in at least seven of these countries polio is endemic. The large area of DRC, substantial amount of poverty, weak health-care infrastructure, poor transportation and communication, and competing demands for resources present considerable challenges to polio eradication. This report summarizes information on the existing health-care infrastructure and routine coverage, information from NIDs carried out in 1999, and results from the recently established surveillance system for acute flaccid paralysis (AFP).

# Existing Health-Care Infrastructure and Routine Vaccination Coverage

DRC has an estimated population of 48.7 million persons<sup>§</sup>; 70% live in rural areas. As a result of armed conflict, health-care administration and logistics have become divided into two operational sectors. The western sector receives vaccine, cold chain equipment, and other supplies through Kinshasa while the eastern sector is supplied through Goma. The Ministry of Health (MOH) is supported by WHO, the United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF), and national and international nongovernment organizations. In DRC during 1984–1995, mortality in infants (127 per 1000) and children aged <5 years (205 per 1000) remained static. Life expectancy is 45 years, and the maternal mortality rate is among the highest in the world (870 per 100,000 births).

Expanded Program on Immunization (EPI) activities are coordinated by 42 subprovincial offices; each is headed by an EPI office and comprises several of the

<sup>\*</sup>Nationwide mass campaigns over a short period (days to weeks), in which two doses of oral poliovirus vaccine are administered to all children in the target age group (usually aged

<sup>&</sup>lt;5 years), regardless of vaccination history, with an interval of 4–6 weeks between doses. <sup>†</sup> Angola, Burundi, Central African Republic, Congo, Rwanda, Sudan, Tanzania, Uganda, and

Zambia.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>§</sup> Based on the results of the 1999 NIDs.

# Poliomyelitis Eradication — Continued

307 health zones. Coverage in the western sector with three doses of oral poliovirus vaccine (OPV3) among children aged <1 year was an estimated 20%. Coverage with OPV3 in the eastern sector of North Kivu was 36% for the first 6 months of 1999. No data are available for the other eastern sector provinces where coverage is estimated to be lower. In 1998, a survey estimated 59% OPV3 coverage nationwide among children aged 12–23 months. Except for Angola (*3*), DRC is the only other African country where large outbreaks have been reported since polio eradication activities began in Africa in 1996. In 1995, approximately 1000 polio cases were reported in Mbuji May (Kasai Oriental). In 1997, 30 cases (and three deaths) were reported in Walikale (North Kivu), and 25 cases (no deaths) were reported in Inongo (Bandundu). In 1998, 87 cases (14 deaths) were reported in Walikale and seven cases (three deaths) in Kiri (Bandundu).

## National Immunization Days

During January-October 1996, Local Immunization Days (LIDs) were conducted in DRC's 32 most populous cities; 1,134,416 children aged 0–59 months (89% of the target population) received two doses of OPV. In 1997, LIDs were carried out in the 47 most populous cities and in 98 health zones along the eastern border. Reported coverage was 97% for Kinshasa and 80%–85% for other cities. In August 1998, the first NIDs were disrupted by the resumption of war. Although hostilities made nationwide implementation impossible, subnational NIDs were conducted in five of the country's 11 provinces; 3.4 million children (92% of the target population) were vaccinated with OPV. In 1999, three rounds of NIDs were planned for August, September, and October. The United Nations General Secretary arranged a cease-fire between the DRC government and the main opposing forces, and urged all factions to observe days of tranquility during NIDs. Vitamin A supplementation (4) was added to the second round of NIDs and measles vaccination to the third round in selected health zones. Because war created difficulty of movement between the eastern and western sectors, a team based in Goma planned and supervised NIDs for the eastern sector while the Kinshasa-based team planned and monitored NIDs for the western sector. Supplies for the east and west came through Goma and Kinshasa, respectively.

Of the country's 307 health zones, 298 (97%) developed a plan to implement NIDs, and these plans were integrated into the overall national plan. Despite the agreement, on August 13, the first NIDs round, targeting all children aged 0–59 months, was disrupted by renewed fighting in the eastern sector; however, 80,000 health-care workers vaccinated in 11 provinces and 298 (97%) health zones were reached (Table 1). In nine health zones, no vaccination activity occurred; only one round was conducted in three zones (1%); 47 (15%) health zones conducted only two rounds. This accounts for the disparities in the numbers of children vaccinated in each round in some provinces (Table 1); 71%, 86%, and 81% of children in the target age group received OPV in the first, second, and third round, respectively; 6,098,500 (67%) children aged 6–59 months received a supplemental dose of vitamin A during the second round, and 3,321,832 children aged 9–59 months (80% of those targeted) were vaccinated against measles.

# AFP Surveillance

In early 1999, AFP surveillance was initiated throughout DRC. The chief medical officers of each health zone are responsible for AFP surveillance and are supported by provincial EPI coordinators who report to the national EPI coordinator. Seven WHO suboffices created in 1995 provide MOH with logistic and technical assistance for AFP

# TABLE 1. Number of children aged 0–59 months\*, number receiving oral poliovirus vaccine (OPV) during National Immunization Days<sup>+</sup> (NIDs), number of reported cases of acute flaccid paralysis (AFP), and nonpolio AFP rates, by province — Democratic Republic of Congo, 1999

						AFP	surveillance		
		NIDs		No.		% AFP cases with	Confirmed cases of		
	No. children	No.	children vaccina	ated	reported	Nonpolio	adequate⁵	polio (wild	
Province	aged <5 years	Round 1	Round 2	Round 3	AFP cases	AFP rate	specimens	poliovirus)	
Bandundu	1,155,038	1,074,623	1,046,912	1,084,102	9	0.12	44%	2	
Bas Congo	489,420	466,359	474,806	476,674	5	0.23	80%	5	
Equateur	1,155,412	243,566	740,826	581,754	3	0.06	67%	0	
Kasai Occidental	945,767	758,035	856,129	844,970	11	0.33	64%	3	
Kasai Oriental	1,144,150	1,062,951	1,097,437	1,106,067	6	0.20	67%	2 (1)	
Katanga	1,470,803	1,104,720	1,298,339	1,419,219	6	0.19	100%	1	
Kinshasa	867,300	827,128	850,704	833,247	32	0.74	48%	20	
Maniema	255,379	151,923	210,272	235,834	12	0.00	0	12	
North Kivu	776,701	690,585	702,219	738,198	1	0.06	100%	0	
Orientale	1,532,158	852,154	1,293,695	1,361,262	0	0.00	NA	0	
South Kivu	670,161	547,653	599,744	577,095	0	0.00	NA	0	
Total	10,462,289	7,779,697	9,171,083	9,258,422	85	0.17	51%	45 (1)	

\*Denominator data based on 1988 census, or maximum number of children vaccinated if higher, and may be unreliable if used to calculate coverage.

<sup>†</sup> Nationwide mass campaigns over a short period (days to weeks) in which two doses of OPV are administered to all children in the target age group (usually aged <5 years), regardless of vaccination history, with an interval of 4-6 weeks between doses. <sup>s</sup> Two stool specimens collected 24 hours apart and within 14 days after the onset of paralysis.

# Poliomyelitis Eradication — Continued

surveillance activities. Although medical personnel have been trained in AFP surveillance in all provinces, surveillance is largely passive (there is no zero-case reporting from health facilities). From each of the 11 provinces, one national surveillance officer is recruited and provided with transportation. The National Institute for Biomedical Research was identified as the national polio reference laboratory and obtained WHO accreditation in December 1999.

During 1999, 85 AFP cases were reported (Figure 1). All 85 had at least one stool specimen collected. Adequate<sup>¶</sup> stool specimens were collected from 44 case-patients; 43 were negative for wild poliovirus and were classified as nonpolio. In 1999, three rounds of NIDs were planned for August, September, and October. Wild poliovirus type 3 was isolated from a stool specimen taken 30 days after onset of paralysis from a case-patient in Mbuji May (Kasai Oriental). Sixty-day follow-up examinations were not conducted for the 41 cases with inadequate specimens, which were confirmed as polio on clinical case classification criteria<sup>\*\*</sup>. The overall nonpolio AFP rate was 0.17 per 100,000 children aged <15 years.



# FIGURE 1. Acute flaccid paralysis (AFP) and wild poliovirus cases, by district — Democratic Republic of Congo, 1999

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>¶</sup> Two stool specimens collected at an interval of at least 24 hours within 14 days of paralysis onset.

<sup>\*\*</sup> AFP cases are confirmed as polio if wild poliovirus is isolated from two specimens, if follow-up examinations 60 days after onset show residual paralysis, or if no follow-up could be conducted (i.e., patient died or was lost to follow-up).

#### Poliomyelitis Eradication — Continued

Reported by: World Health Organization (WHO) Country Office, Kinshasa, Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC); United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF) Country Program, Kinshasa, DRC. Regional Office for Africa, WHO, Harare, Zimbabwe. Regional UNICEF Office for West and Central Africa Region, Abidjan, Côte d'Ivoire. UNICEF, New York. Vaccines and Biologicals Dept, WHO, Geneva, Switzerland. Respiratory and Enteric Viruses Br, Div of Viral and Rickettsial Diseases, National Center for Infectious Diseases; Vaccine Preventable Disease Eradication Div, National Immunization Program, CDC.

**Editorial Note:** The goal of global polio eradication will not be achieved unless strategies are implemented effectively in all countries where polio is endemic, including those affected by civil unrest or war. This report from DRC, together with reports from Afghanistan (5), Somalia, southern Sudan (6), and other war-torn areas (7), suggests that even under extremely adverse conditions, effective polio eradication strategies can be implemented.

NIDs in DRC that reached almost all health zones and the initiation of AFP surveillance demonstrate that armed conflict does not present an insurmountable barrier to implementing eradication strategies. Despite the successes, however, future NIDs must cover all areas of the country. Nine health zones, with a combined target population of 270,000 children aged <5 years, were not reached during the 1999 NIDs; conflict prevented another 57 health zones from completing OPV3 rounds.

In 2000, a cease-fire, days of tranquility for NIDs respected by all armed groups, and completion of three NIDs rounds in all 307 DRC health zones are factors critical to eradication efforts. Plans for polio eradication in DRC in 2000 include strengthening routine EPI; conducting three rounds of intensified NIDs in July, August, and September 2000 (including house-to-house vaccination in much of the country); and expanding AFP surveillance. The long-term success of NIDs in DRC requires the negotiation of a formal cease-fire; the strong commitment of the DRC government; a solid partnership between MOH, United Nations agencies, and other organizations; commitment of the necessary funds and resources to overcome the limitations of the existing infrastructure; and a decentralized approach to planning and implementation.

The detection of 85 AFP cases also demonstrates that AFP surveillance can be initiated in countries affected by war and limited infrastructure. Cases already have been reported from nine of the country's 11 provinces. The success is primarily the result of MOH commitment and the establishment of a surveillance infrastructure. The sensitivity, quality, and geographic extent of AFP surveillance must be enhanced to ensure that data can be used to target mopping-up activities as polio transmission becomes focused in DRC. Active surveillance with zero-case reporting from the main referral hospitals must be initiated. If DRC is to eradicate polio by the end of 2000, the necessary human, material, and financial resources must be made available in a timely manner<sup>††</sup>. International, national, and local efforts pressing for peace or at least access to children for vaccination and other health activities must be a priority.

#### References

- World Health Assembly. Global eradication of poliomyelitis by the year 2000: resolution of the 41st World Health Assembly. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization, 1988 (Resolution WHA 41.28).
- 2. CDC. Progress toward the global interruption of wild poliovirus type 2 transmission, 1999. MMWR 1999;33:736-8.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>++</sup>Polio eradication in DRC is supported by the DRC government; external support is provided by WHO, UNICEF, Rotary International, and the government of the United States (through U.S. Agency for International Development and CDC).

#### Poliomyelitis Eradication — Continued

- 3. CDC. Outbreak of poliomyelitis-Angola, 1999. MMWR 1999;48:327-9.
- 4. Goodman T, Dalmiya N, de Benoist B, Schultink W. Polio as a platform: using immunization days to deliver vitamin A supplementations. Bull World Health Organ 2000;78:305–14.
- 5. CDC. Progress toward poliomyelitis eradication—Afghanistan, 1994–1999. MMWR 1999; 48:825–8.
- CDC. Progress toward poliomyelitis eradication during armed conflict—Somalia and Southern Sudan, January 1998–June 1999. MMWR 1999;48:633–7.
- 7. Hull HF. Pax polio. Science 1997;275:401.

# Public Opinion About Public Health — United States, 1999

Previous surveys have documented a substantial gap in the public's understanding and attitudes about public health (1). The Pew Charitable Trusts, a Philadelphia-based philanthropy that supports nonprofit activities in the areas of culture, education, the environment, health and human services, public policy, and religion, commissioned two firms, the Mellman Group and Public Opinion Strategies, to conduct both qualitative and quantitative research in 1999 to characterize the public's attitudes about public health. In particular, the Pew Charitable Trusts asked the groups to explore 1) perceptions about public health in general, including levels of support and importance compared with other national priorities; 2) opinions about environmental health and its role in causing disease and promoting health; and 3) opinions about the public health infrastructure. This report summarizes the results of this survey, which indicate that the term "public health" is misunderstood, persons are concerned about the quality of the public health system, increased government spending for public health is a greater priority than other key national concerns, and that the public regard environmental factors as important contributors to certain health problems.

During March 24–31, 1999, the groups conducted a national telephone survey of 1234 registered voters. Registered voters, selected by random-digit–dialing, were chosen because of their potential influence on setting government priorities. Respondents were first asked to respond to a series of statements defining public health. Respondents were then given a definition of public health (i.e., protecting the population from disease) and asked a series of questions about federal resources devoted to public health and other programs. Respondents also were asked about their beliefs on the links between environmental factors and disease. The sampling margin of error was  $\pm 2.8\%$  at the 95% confidence level.

Respondents were asked "When you hear the term 'public health,' what do you think of?" and then given a choice of four descriptions. Approximately half (57%) of the respondents could not define public health as either protecting the population from disease or policies and programs that promote healthy living conditions for everyone.

Interviewers then defined public health and asked respondents to rate (i.e., excellent, good, fair, or poor) the current system for protecting public health. Most (57%) respondents offered negative evaluations of the public health system. Respondents also were asked whether sufficient resources were being dedicated to public health; 65% said that the United States should do more to protect public health. When asked to compare public health as a spending priority with several other key programs, most said public health was more deserving of additional funds than building roads and highways (80%), missile defense (73%), and cutting taxes (63%). Only education was viewed as a greater priority for additional resources (24%).

#### Vol. 49 / No. 12

#### MMWR

#### Public Opinion — Continued

When asked about environmental factors (e.g., pollution) and their relation to public health, 85% said they believed that environmental factors are important determinants of disease and health problems. Of these, 38% considered environmental factors very important.

Respondents were asked to indicate how much impact environmental problems have on the public health. Most respondents believed that environmental factors play an important role in causing certain diseases. Sinus and allergy problems (54%), childhood asthma (54%), childhood cancer (39%), colds and influenza (35%), and birth defects (36%) were the health problems seen as most likely resulting from environmental factors (Table 1).

Respondents were given nine environmental issues and asked what impact each had on the population's health (a great deal, some, not too much, not at all, or don't know). Contaminated drinking water (58%), toxic waste (56%), air pollution (53%), foods contaminated with bacteria (53%), and pesticides in foods (47%) were considered to have the greatest impact (Table 2).

Reported by: SA Hearne, DrPH, PA Locke, DrPH, Pew Environmental Health Commission, Johns Hopkins School of Public Health, Baltimore, Maryland. M Mellman, P Loeb, L Dropkin, Mellman Group; G Bolger, N Fink, Public Opinion Strategies, Washington, DC. M Byrnes, MPA, Pew Charitable Trusts, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

**Editorial Note**: Societal support is critical for public health efforts, which target populationbased disease prevention and collective action. Since 1981, financial support for public health infrastructure has decreased (2,3), and national expenditures for health-care services have increased (4,5). The diminishing resources for public health combined with the increasing costs of medical intervention may indicate a failure to communicate the efficacy of public health practices and programs.

The findings in this report are subject to at least two limitations. First, the survey design defined public health but not the public health system. Second, spending priorities do not necessarily address support of specific public health initiatives. The survey does indicate substantial support for public health when the public understands the concept, which has important implications for how public health professionals communicate with the public, policymakers, and the media.

·····	•				
Health problem	Very important	Somewhat important	Not too important	Not at all	Don't know
Sinus problems/allergies	54%	35%	24%	22%	24%
Asthma in children	54%	35%	4%	3%	5%
Colds/Influenza	35%	41%	11%	10%	3%
Childhood cancer	39%	35%	8%	8%	10%
Birth defects	36%	37%	9%	7%	10%
Breast cancer	28%	33%	15%	13%	12%
Brain tumors	24%	32%	12%	14%	18%
Infertility	20%	36%	15%	14%	16%
Learning disabilities	21%	29%	21%	19%	11%
Prostate cancer	20%	29%	17%	17%	17%
Behavioral disorders	18%	28%	21%	21%	12%
Childhood injury	15%	24%	23%	29%	10%

# TABLE 1. Percentage of participants' responses to the level of impact of environmental factors on selected health problems — Pew Charitable Trusts Public Health Survey, United States, 1999

## Public Opinion — Continued

Problem	Great deal	Some	Not much	Not at all	Don't know
Air pollution	53%	38%	25%	22%	22%
Sick buildings	26%	36%	10%	5%	22%
Contaminated					
drinking water	58%	28%	8%	3%	3%
Food contaminated					
with bacteria	53%	34%	9%	2%	1%
Pesticides in foods	47%	36%	10%	4%	3%
Toxic waste	56%	29%	9%	2%	5%
Chemicals in consumer					
products	35%	42%	15%	5%	3%
Depletion of ozone layer	36%	33%	12%	9%	10%
Electromagnetic fields					
created by power lines	19%	32%	17%	16%	17%

TABLE 2. Percentage of participants' responses to the level of impact the environment has on a person's health, by environmental problem — Pew Charitable Trusts Public Health Survey, United States, 1999

The findings in the survey indicate that most registered voters believe the environment is an important determinant in maintaining good health. The identification of environmental health issues with public health may enable public health professionals to better inform the public about the importance of a population-based focus on disease prevention.

## References

- 1. CDC. Public opinion about public health—California and the United States, 1996. MMWR 1998;47:69–73.
- 2. Institute of Medicine. The future of public health. Washington, DC: National Academy Press, 1988.
- 3. Siegel M, Doner L. Marketing public health. Gaithersburg, Maryland: Aspen Publishers, 1998.
- Health Care Financing Administration. National health expenditure amounts, percent distribution, and average annual percent change, by years 1970–2007. Available at http:// www.hcfa.gov.stats/NHE-Proj/tables/t01.htm. Accessed July 2, 1999.
- 5. Smith S, Freeland M, Heffler S, McKusick D, the Health Expenditures Projection Team. The next ten years of health spending: what does the future hold? Health Affairs 1998;17: 128–40.

# Notice to Readers

# Alternate Two-Dose Hepatitis B Vaccination Schedule for Adolescents Aged 11–15 Years

In September 1999, Merck Vaccine Division (Merck & Co., Inc., West Point, Pennsylvania\*) received approval from the Food and Drug Administration for an optional two-dose schedule of Recombivax HB<sup>®</sup> for vaccination of adolescents aged 11–15 years. The Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices approved the optional two-dose schedule in October 1999 and recommended to include this schedule in the Vaccines for Children Program in February 2000. Using the two-dose schedule, the adult dose of Recombivax HB<sup>®</sup> (1.0 mL dose containing 10 µg of hepatitis B surface antigen [HBsAg]) is administered to adolescents aged 11–15 years, with the second dose given 4–6 months after the first dose. In immunogenicity studies among adolescents aged 11–15 years, antibody concentrations and end seroprotection rates (≥10 milli-international units per mL of antibody to HBsAg) were similar with the two-dose schedule (1.0 mL dose containing 10 µg of HBsAg) and the currently licensed three-dose schedule (0.5 mL dose containing 5 µg of HBsAg). The overall frequency of adverse events was similar for the two-dose schedule and the three-dose schedule. Short-term (2-year) follow-up data indicate that the rate of decline in antibody levels for the two-dose schedule was similar to that for the three-dose schedule. No data are available to assess long-term protection (beyond 2 years) or immune memory following vaccination with the two-dose schedule, and it is not known whether booster doses of vaccine will be required. As with other hepatitis B vaccination schedules, if administration of the two-dose schedule is interrupted it is not necessary to restart the series. Children and adolescents who have begun vaccination with a dose of 5 µg of Recombivax HB® should complete the three-dose series with this dose. If it is not clear which dose an adolescent was administered at the start of a series, the series should be completed with the three-dose schedule.

\*Use of trade names and commercial sources is for identification only and does not constitute endorsement by CDC or the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

# Notice to Readers

# Injury-Related Mortality Reports Database Available on Internet

WISQARS<sup>™</sup> (Web-based Injury Statistics Query and Reporting System), pronounced "whiskers," is an interactive system that provides injury-related mortality data useful for research and for making informed public health decisions. Mortality data for 1981–1997 are produced in two report formats: 1) Injury Mortality Reports, which can be used to determine injury deaths and death rates for specific external causes of injuries, and 2) Leading Causes of Death Reports, which can be used to determine the number of injury-related deaths relative to the number of other leading causes of death in the United States or in individual states. The report is available at http://www.cdc.gov/ncipc/wisqars.

## Notices to Readers — Continued

Both reports are available by year, age, race, sex, Hispanic origin, and state. Reports can be requested by 5-year age ranges (e.g., 0–4 years or 5–9 years) or a custom-defined range (e.g., 13–19 years or all 6-year-olds only). Race categories are white, black, American Indian/Alaskan Native, Asian and Pacific Islander, and other (all nonwhite and nonblack and may include other races not listed). In addition, Injury Mortality Reports can be requested by these specific definitions and other parameters (e.g., a report for a mechanism/cause and manner/intent in a specific state by sex and race).

# Notice to Readers

# National Vaccine Program Office Workshop on Aluminum in Vaccines

CDC's National Vaccine Program Office will sponsor Workshop on Aluminum in Vaccines during May 11–12, 2000. The workshop will be held at the Caribe Hotel in San Juan, Puerto Rico, immediately following the Metal lons in Biology and Medicine Conference. Discussion topics include vaccine adjuvants, aluminum salts in vaccines, the pharmacology and toxicology of aluminum, and macrophagic myofascitis. Additional information is available on the World-Wide Web at http://www.cdc.gov/od/nvpo/calendar, or telephone (404) 687-6672.

# Erratum: Vol. 49, No. SS-1

In the *MMWR Surveillance Summaries*, "Surveillance for Foodborne-Disease Outbreaks—United States, 1993–1997," Table B has two errors on page 61. In the *Cryptosporidium parvum* section, under Confirmation, the second option should read "Demonstration of organism in epidemiologically implicated food." In the following section, the agent listed should be "*Cyclospora cayatenensis*."



# FIGURE I. Selected notifiable disease reports, comparison of provisional 4-week totals ending March 25, 2000, with historical data — United States

# Ratio (Log Scale)\*

Beyond Historical Limits

\*Ratio of current 4-week total to mean of 15 4-week totals (from previous, comparable, and subsequent 4-week periods for the past 5 years). The point where the hatched area begins is based on the mean and two standard deviations of these 4-week totals.

		1		<b></b>
		Cum. 2000		Cum. 2000
Anthrax		-	HIV infection, pediatric*§	34
Brucellosis*		6	Plague	2
Cholera		-	Poliomvelitis, paralytic	-
Congenital ru	bella syndrome	1	Psittacosis*	4
Cyclosporiasis	5*	2	Rabies, human	-
Diphtheria		-	Rocky Mountain spotted fever (RMSF)	29
Encephalitis:	California* serogroup viral	2	Streptococcal disease, invasive Group A	656
	eastern equine*	-	Streptococcal toxic-shock syndrome*	31
	St. Louis*	-	Syphilis, congenital <sup>¶</sup>	6
	western equine*	-	Tetanus	3
Ehrlichiosis	human granulocytic (HGE)*	13	Toxic-shock syndrome	31
	human monocytic (HME)*	1	Trichinosis	1
Hansen Disea	se*	10	Typhoid fever	63
Hantavirus pu	Ilmonary syndrome*†.	-	Yellow fever	-
Hemolyticure	emic syndrome, post-diarrheal*	20		

# TABLE I. Summary — provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, cumulative, week ending March 25, 2000 (12th Week)

-: no reported cases

\*Not notifiable in all states. \*Updated weekly from reports to the Division of Viral and Rickettsial Diseases, National Center for Infectious Diseases (NCID). \*Updated monthly from reports to the Division of HIV/AIDS Prevention–Surveillance and Epidemiology, National Center for HIV,

STD, and TB Prevention (NCHSTP), last update February 27, 2000.

<sup>1</sup>Updated from reports to the Division of STD Prevention, NCHSTP.

							Escherichia coli 0157:H7*			
	AI	DS	Chlan	nydia®	Cryptos	oridiosis	NET	rss	PH	LIS
<b>Reporting Area</b>	2000 <sup>†</sup>	Cum. 1999	2000	Cum. 1999	2000	1999	2000	1999	2000	Cum. 1999
UNITED STATES	6,288	9,714	111,781	150,870	260	310	293	265	181	212
NEW ENGLAND Maine N.H. Vt. Mass. R.I. Conn.	511 6 5 1 370 17 112	520 5 19 4 355 20 117	4,586 274 229 131 1,881 525 1,546	4,787 153 242 107 2,060 510 1,715	12 3 - 6 1 2 -	15 1 1 9 - 3	26 2 4 1 7 12	39 3 2 3 18 1 12	23 2 4 2 6 9	35 2 17 1 15
MID. ATLANTIC Upstate N.Y. N.Y. City N.J. Pa.	1,592 65 986 387 154	2,334 354 1,193 507 280	4,398 N - 1,034 3,364	18,133 N 8,749 2,988 6,396	23 16 4 3	59 20 30 3 6	27 27 - N	14 10 1 3 N	38 32 - 1 5	2 - 1 1 -
E.N. CENTRAL Ohio Ind. III. Mich. Wis.	590 92 56 353 67 22	791 106 124 401 125 35	19,623 5,170 2,726 5,386 4,728 1,613	23,481 7,566 2,604 6,281 4,398 2,632	41 13 - 7 18	61 8 5 6 8 34	37 11 5 11 10 N	49 20 10 9 10 N	8 3 - 2 2	34 9 7 6 6 6
W.N. CENTRAL Minn. Iowa Mo. N. Dak. S. Dak. S. Dak. Nebr. Kans.	151 32 10 70 - 2 7 30	197 40 13 87 3 3 10 41	5,478 1,397 683 902 - 398 743 1,355	8,494 1,787 606 3,177 203 477 854 1,390	18 4 3 7 1 1 2	21 10 4 - 2 2 2	70 18 11 32 2 1 2 4	59 11 4 2 1 21 14	40 17 4 11 2 1 2 3	55 13 2 3 1 1 35
S. ATLANTIC Del. Md. D.C. Va. W. Va. N.C. S.C. Ga. Fla.	1,531 26 153 112 115 6 75 156 183 705	2,798 40 338 70 129 18 197 191 209 1,606	22,070 690 1,423 697 3,140 450 4,470 669 4,268 6,263	30,932 694 3,046 N 3,481 505 5,061 5,071 6,207 6,867	44 - 5 - 1 - 3 - 27 8	46 - 3 1 - 1 - 32 5	28 - 5 - 6 2 6 - 3 6	26 1 - 6 - 7 1 1 9	16 - 1 5 1 2 - 3 4	13 - U 2 1 5 1 U 4
E.S. CENTRAL Ky. Tenn. Ala. Miss.	281 37 105 92 47	489 70 210 109 100	11,071 1,831 2,956 4,031 2,253	11,081 1,810 3,418 3,289 2,564	8 - 1 7 -	3 1 1 -	14 6 5 1 2	20 5 8 4 3	11 3 8 -	11 4 3 3 1
W.S. CENTRAL Ark. La. Okla. Tex.	542 20 92 16 414	1,163 45 108 36 974	19,459 1,080 3,887 1,559 12,933	19,852 1,325 2,391 1,937 14,199	8 1 - 1 6	21 - 13 7	11 4 - 4 3	9 2 3 3 1	18 1 9 3 5	16 2 3 2 9
MOUNTAIN Mont. Idaho Wyo. Colo. N. Mex. Ariz. Utah Nev.	213 3 1 52 26 56 28 44	282 4 5 2 74 13 87 37 60	4,982 64 185 814 436 2,287 573 623	7,964 271 432 190 1,797 1,080 3,073 412 709	18 1 1 5 1 2 7	23 1 2 3 10 7 N	27 8 4 2 8 - 3 1 1	15 - 1 5 1 3 5 -	11 - 2 5 - 3 1 -	12 - 2 1 2 - 1 5 1
PACIFIC Wash. Oreg. Calif. Alaska Hawaii	877 102 22 727 26	1,140 58 32 1,023 6 21	20,114 2,841 1,162 14,927 551 633	26,146 2,820 1,345 20,777 457 747	88 N 2 86 -	61 N 3 58 -	53 5 7 38 - 3	34 4 12 18 -	16 7 6 - 3	34 14 10 10 -
Guam P.R. V.I. Amer. Samoa C.N.M.I.	9 153 6 - -	1 324 3 -	- 142 - -	103 U U U U		- U U U	N - - -	N 1 U U U	U U U U	U U U U

TABLE II. Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States,weeks ending March 25, 2000, and March 27, 1999 (12th Week)

N: Not notifiable U: Unavailable -: no reported cases C.N.M.I.: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands \* Individual cases may be reported through both the National Electronic Telecommunications System for Surveillance (NETSS) and the Public Health Laboratory Information System (PHLIS). \* Updated monthly from reports to the Division of HIV/AIDS Prevention–Surveillance and Epidemiology, National Center for HIV, STD, and TB Prevention, last update February 27, 2000. \* Chlamydia refers to genital infections caused by *C. trachomatis.* Totals reported to the Division of STD Prevention, NCHSTP.

	Gono	rrhea	Hep C/N	atitis IA,NB	Legio	nellosis	Lyme Disease	
Reporting Area	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.
UNITED STATES	58,659	81,470	443	800	136	205	701	1,009
NEW ENGLAND Maine N.H. Vt. Mass. R.I. Conn.	1,344 15 20 10 532 132 635	1,661 10 19 13 638 126 855		3 - 2 1 -	10 2 - 3 - 3	14 2 1 3 4 1 3	98 - 15 - 43 - 40	252 1 - 100 8 143
MID. ATLANTIC Upstate N.Y. N.Y. City N.J. Pa.	3,411 1,130 - 579 1,702	10,044 1,307 4,100 1,775 2,862	11 11 - -	31 18 - 13	22 12 - 10	56 13 8 5 30	474 190 2 282	528 119 16 116 277
E.N. CENTRAL Ohio Ind. III. Mich. Wis.	12,089 3,016 1,226 3,403 3,443 1,001	13,998 3,802 1,549 4,503 3,050 1,094	58 - 4 54	431 - 7 114 310	38 20 5 1 7 5	62 17 5 10 17 13	4 - - - U	41 10 1 2 1 27
W.N. CENTRAL Minn. Iowa Mo. N. Dak. S. Dak. Nebr. Kans.	1,906 545 149 367 - 58 239 548	3,631 652 220 1,748 16 39 413 543	62 - - 56 - 1 5	46 - 41 - 1 4	8 1 2 4 - - 1	8 - 3 - 1 1 -	24 6 - 5 - 13	17 3 2 4 1 - - 7
S. ATLANTIC Del. Md. D.C. Va. W. Va. N.C. S.C. Ga. Fla.	15,636 379 683 536 2,029 118 4,060 574 2,831 4,426	23,874 403 3,399 1,566 2,368 137 4,449 2,415 4,228 4,909	20 - - 1 7 - 10	56 - 20 - 6 12 9 1 2	29 2 7 3 N 3 2 1 11	24 2 4 - 4 N 4 5 - 5	77 6 56 - 5 4 - - 3	119 5 96 1 - 2 13 1 - 1
E.S. CENTRAL Ky. Tenn. Ala. Miss.	7,856 736 2,286 3,020 1,814	8,832 878 2,662 3,005 2,287	84 10 20 3 51	47 5 22 1 19	3 1 1 -	12 6 5 1	- - - -	14 - 4 6 4
W.S. CENTRAL Ark. La. Okla. Tex.	10,488 541 2,850 735 6,362	11,408 659 2,454 1,009 7,286	103 3 44 56	88 3 66 2 17	- - - -	1 - 1 -	- - - -	- - - -
MOUNTAIN Mont. Idaho Wyo. Colo. N. Mex. Ariz. Utah Nev.	2,004 4 17 824 78 765 75 241	2,263 5 26 8 504 206 1,161 44 309	60 - 43 9 4 4 -	62 4 24 8 11 1 2	9 - 1 4 - 3 -	14 - - 1 1 1 5	1 - - 1 -	2
PACIFIC Wash. Oreg. Calif. Alaska Hawaii	3,925 525 135 3,120 68 77	5,759 496 206 4,843 92 122	45 5 10 30 -	36 2 4 30 -	17 5 N 12 -	14 2 N 12 -	23 1 22 N	36 - 1 35 - N
Guam P.R. V.I. Amer. Samoa C.N.M.I.	- 30 - -	17 68 U U U	- 1 - -	- U U U	- - - -	- U U U	N - -	- N U U U

# TABLE II. (Cont'd) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States,<br/>weeks ending March 25, 2000, and March 27, 1999 (12th Week)

N: Not notifiable U: Unavailable

- : no reported cases

				Salmonellosis*						
	Malaria		Rabies	, Animal	NET	SS	PHLIS			
<b>Reporting Area</b>	Cum. 2000	Cum. 1999	Cum. 2000	Cum. 1999	Cum. 2000	Cum. 1999	Cum. 2000	Cum. 1999		
UNITED STATES	157	267	876	1,149	4,610	5,560	2,829	5,143		
NEW ENGLAND Maine N.H. Vt. Mass. R.I. Conn.	1 - - - -	4 - - 4 -	112 24 7 38 - 41	180 32 14 34 42 15 43	325 30 23 21 183 8 60	303 27 9 12 180 13 62	268 12 18 14 159 12 53	340 17 12 14 182 31 84		
MID. ATLANTIC Upstate N.Y. N.Y. City N.J. Pa.	18 9 4 5	88 20 37 23 8	193 150 U 27 16	234 147 U 51 36	430 140 148 - 142	827 152 257 211 207	546 130 194 51 171	611 186 242 177 6		
E.N. CENTRAL Ohio Ind. III. Mich. Wis.	14 2 1 3 8	25 2 4 10 6 3	8 2 - 6 -	1 - - 1 -	611 171 61 190 119 70	871 189 47 271 210 154	313 107 46 1 114 45	744 139 52 265 204 84		
W.N. CENTRAL Minn. Iowa Mo. N. Dak. S. Dak. Nebr. Kans.	6 4 - - 1 1	11 - - - - 2	80 22 10 2 13 18 - 15	164 19 21 5 29 38 1 51	252 42 31 87 4 12 34 42	321 85 38 70 2 13 27 86	230 75 23 70 15 15 7 25	357 124 37 105 11 18 27 35		
S. ATLANTIC Del. Md. D.C. Va. W. Va. N.C. S.C. Ga. Fla.	47 20 13 5 - 9	60 - 20 6 10 1 5 - 6 12	369 10 84 26 88 26 28 28 26	393 7 93 - 98 17 90 24 33 31	883 12 143 - 96 23 162 85 138 224	1,025 17 119 20 126 19 211 60 209 244	504 11 111 06 14 89 63 150	889 21 117 U 112 22 184 61 255 117		
E.S. CENTRAL Ky. Tenn. Ala. Miss.	6 2 - 4 -	5 1 2 2	37 8 23 6	56 17 22 17	245 52 56 96 41	322 68 90 94 70	112 19 67 23 3	200 44 83 60 13		
W.S. CENTRAL Ark. La. Okla. Tex.	1 - 1 -	9 1 6 1 1	11 - - 11 -	26 - 26 -	277 49 27 44 157	395 56 62 51 226	364 22 84 35 223	559 44 74 35 406		
MOUNTAIN Mont. Idaho Wyo. Colo. N. Mex. Ariz. Utah Nev.	13 1 - 7 2 2 1	11 1 - 4 1 3 1 -	34 9 - 16 - 2 7 -	31 12 - 8 1 - 10 -	438 18 26 6 100 45 142 65 36	412 4 16 3 129 54 119 51 36	283 - 3 88 28 108 56 -	404 1 20 6 128 53 111 58 27		
PACIFIC Wash. Oreg. Calif. Alaska Hawaii	51 3 5 42 - 1	54 3 7 39 - 5	32 - 25 7 -	64 - 61 3 -	1,149 63 56 970 13 47	1,084 74 77 859 8 66	209 99 68 - 8 34	1,039 149 108 714 5 63		
Guam P.R. V.I. Amer. Samoa C.N.M.I.		- - U U	- 6 - -	14 U U U	- 10 - -	16 62 U U U	U U U U			

# TABLE II. (Cont'd) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States,weeks ending March 25, 2000, and March 27, 1999 (12th Week)

N: Not notifiable U: Unavailable -: no reported cases \*Individual cases may be reported through both the National Electronic Telecommunications System for Surveillance (NETSS) and the Public Health Laboratory Information System (PHLIS).

-	NFT	Shige SS	llosis* Pi	HUS	(Primary 8	philis Secondary)	Tuberculosis		
	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	
Keporting Area	2000	<b>1999</b> 2 792	2000 1 221	1999 1 545	2000 1 213	1999 1 522	2000 1 833	2 754	
NEW ENGLAND Maine N.H. Vt. Mass. R.I. Conn.	65 2 1 45 7 9	70 1 4 3 47 9 6	47 - 1 - 34 4 8	67 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	1,2 10 14 - 12 1 1	1,522 - - 1 8 1 5	56 - 1 - 41 4 10	2,734 81 3 - 41 15 22	
MID. ATLANTIC Upstate N.Y. N.Y. City N.J. Pa.	208 132 56 20	230 42 82 68 38	163 56 60 15 32	144 19 72 53	22 1 6 4 11	68 7 25 16 20	387 28 241 90 28	462 41 239 108 74	
E.N. CENTRAL Ohio Ind. III. Mich. Wis.	425 32 62 120 180 31	484 161 19 183 60 61	160 17 9 2 126 6	254 19 9 172 40 14	275 16 104 83 56 16	229 20 65 111 26 7	215 34 15 135 19 12	263 64 23 124 39 13	
W.N. CENTRAL Minn. Iowa Mo. N. Dak. S. Dak. Nebr. Kans.	198 46 26 96 1 20 9	156 19 2 105 1 - 9 20	107 45 21 33 - 4 4	134 27 3 89 2 1 5 7	16 2 6 5 - 2 1	41 5 28 - 2 3	91 36 8 34 - 3 4 6	96 35 4 42 1 3 4 7	
S. ATLANTIC Del. Md. D.C. Va. W. Va. N.C. S.C. Ga. Fla.	357 3 26 - 14 2 18 3 41 250	437 5 27 19 18 3 59 26 52 228	73 2 8 U 12 1 8 1 20 21	110 1 5 1 33 10 18 37	384 2 66 15 30 1 121 11 64 74	570 1 118 33 39 1 130 59 106 83	319 - 44 - 8 43 18 99 107	443 4 50 10 44 10 70 81 82 92	
E.S. CENTRAL Ky. Tenn. Ala. Miss.	125 32 58 9 26	303 29 222 29 23	82 16 63 1 2	179 22 145 12	191 19 123 26 23	273 28 132 76 37	120 - 52 68	152 22 45 67 18	
W.S. CENTRAL Ark. La. Okla. Tex.	273 48 19 9 197	455 30 35 114 276	287 3 45 5 234	498 20 30 29 419	189 16 50 41 82	228 24 36 61 107	28 20 - 8 -	450 27 U 22 401	
MOUNTAIN Mont. Idaho Wyo. Colo. N. Mex. Ariz. Utah Nev.	220 22 1 31 25 86 6 49	161 3 2 31 19 85 12 7	68 - 1 16 13 28 10 -	97 3 1 20 13 44 13 3	36 - - 3 3 28 - 2	34 - - 33 1	90 4 - 6 16 40 7 17	69 - - U 11 31 11 16	
PACIFIC Wash. Oreg. Calif. Alaska Hawaii	956 168 75 700 3 10	496 15 14 453 - 14	234 182 45 1 6	62 31 16 - 15	86 11 2 73 -	64 5 1 56 1 1	527 35 - 463 12 17	738 32 20 641 10 35	
Guam P.R. V.I. Amer. Samoa C.N.M.I.	- 1 - -	3 7 U U U	U U U U	U U U U U	20 - -	52 U U U	- - - -	- - U U U	

# TABLE II. (Cont'd) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending March 25, 2000, and March 27, 1999 (12th Week)

N: Not notifiable U: Unavailable -: no reported cases \*Individual cases may be reported through both the National Electronic Telecommunications System for Surveillance (NETSS) and the Public Health Laboratory Information System (PHLIS). \*Cumulative reports of provisional tuberculosis cases for 1999 are unavailable ("U") for some areas using the Tuberculosis Information System (TIMS).

H. influenzae,			F	lepatitis (V	/iral), by ty	ре	Measles (Rubeola)						
	inva	sive	Α	_	В	_	Indige	nous	s Imported*				
Reporting Area	Cum. 2000 <sup>†</sup>	Cum. 1999	Cum. 2000	Cum.	Cum. 2000	Cum. 1999	2000	Cum. 2000	2000	Cum. 2000	Cum. 2000	Cum.	
UNITED STATES	268	297	2,599	4,229	1,018	1,339	-	4	-	-	4	23	
NEW ENGLAND	22	21	55	45	11	39	-	-	-	-	-	2	
Maine N H	1	2	1 7	2	1	- 2	-	-	-	-	-	- 1	
Vt.	2	3	3	-	2	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Mass. R.I.	11	10	21	18	2	21 2	-	-	-	-	-	1	
Conn.	4	4	23	20	-	13	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MID. ATLANTIC	38	44	105	260	94	194	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Upstate N.Y. N.Y. Citv	20 6	19 12	53 52	56 82	22 72	36 61	-	-	-	-	-	-	
N.J.	10	12	-	35	-	26	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	2	20	-	000	-	/1	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Ohio	31	39 16	317 92	922 190	24	128	-	3	-	-	3	-	
Ind.	3	3	5	32	5	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Mich.	3	3	121	505	86	90	-	- 1	-	-	- 1	-	
Wis.	-	-	6	21	-	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	
W.N. CENTRAL	13	18	276	213	56	69	-	1	-	-	1	-	
lowa	-	3	23 31	36	10	0 14	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Mo. N. Dak	3 1	4	141	122	25	34	-	-	-	-	-	-	
S. Dak.	-	1	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Nebr. Kans	1	1 4	10 71	20 22	7	8 5	-	- 1	-	-	- 1	-	
S ATLANTIC	72	65	303	359	214	206	_	-	_	-		_	
Del.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
D.C.	- 22	21 2	35	94 15	- 30	4/	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Va.	14	9	45	30	34	23	-	-	-	-	-	-	
N.C.	6	11	20 58	38	81	44	-	-	-	-	-	-	
S.C.	3 19	2 15	5 12	5	2 13	25 30	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Fla.	7	4	90	80	54	30	-	-	-	-	-	-	
E.S. CENTRAL	13	23	84	107	63	108	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Ky. Tenn	7	5	7 21	19 49	14 28	8 52	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Ala.	2	8	18	24	6	28	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Miss.	-	2	38	15	15	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	
W.S. CENTRAL	17	21	404 42	893 9	50 15	183 13	-	-	-	-	-	2	
La.	3	6	11	39	18	46	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Tex.	- 14	2	8/ 264	703	- 17	29 95	-	-	-	-	-	2	
MOUNTAIN	37	36	191	394	84	106	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Mont.	-	1	1	4	3	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Wyo.	2	1	8 6	9	4	6 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Colo.	11 10	2	43 21	76	20	22	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Ariz.	12	19	85	241	28	21	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Utah Nev	2	3	13 14	16 39	3	7 18	ū	-	ū	-	-	-	
PACIEIC	25	20	964	1 026	- 221	206	0		0			10	
Wash.	25	-	50	66	9	7	-	-	-	-	-	4	
Oreg. Calif	85	10 17	56 755	62 903	25 293	23 266	-	-	-	-	-	8	
Alaska	1	2	3	3	3	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Hawaii	9	1	-	2	1	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Guam P.R.	-	-	- 15	2 18	- 8	2 27	U	-	U	-	-	-	
V.I.	-	Ü	-	Ŭ	-	Ũ	Ŭ	-	Ŭ	-	-	U	
Amer. Samoa	-	U	-	0	-	U	U	-	U	-	-	U	

# TABLE III. Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases preventable by vaccination, United States, weeks ending March 25, 2000, and March 27, 1999 (12th Week)

N: Not notifiable U: Unavailable - : no reported cases \*For imported measles, cases include only those resulting from importation from other countries. \*Of 64 cases among children aged <5 years, serotype was reported for 26 and of those, 5 were type b.

	Mening Dis	gococcal ease	Mumps				Pertussis		Rubella			
Reporting Area	Cum. 2000	Cum. 1999	2000	Cum. 2000	Cum. 1999	2000	Cum. 2000	Cum. 1999	2000	Cum. 2000	Cum. 1999	
UNITED STATES	576	668	9	93	104	60	844	1,258	-	5	9	
NEW ENGLAND Maine	32 3	37 3	1	2	3	7	204 7	125	-	1	2	
N.H.	-	3	-	-	1	3	45	18	-	1	-	
Vt. Mass.	21	2 24	-	-	2	- 3	90	9 92	-	-	2	
R.I.	1	2	- 1	1	-	1	7	2	-	-	-	
	7	60	•	5	14	٥	90	174		2		
Upstate N.Y.	11	11	-	3	2	9	54	129	-	2	-	
N.Y. City	11 12	25 15	-	-	3	-	-	10 5	-	-	-	
Pa.	13	18	-	2	9	-	26	30	-	-	-	
E.N. CENTRAL	89	105	-	11	13	-	140	142	-	-	-	
Uhio Ind.	20 17	43 6	-	- 3	6	-	108	83	-	-	-	
	18	35	-	3	3	-	8	20	-	-	-	
Wis.	24 10	10	-	5	4	-	10	14	-	-	-	
W.N. CENTRAL	49	85	-	10	3	2	30	45	-	2	-	
Minn.	3 10	18 16	-	- 3	- 2	1	10	- 8	-	-	-	
Mo.	31	30	-	1	1	1	4	9	-	-	-	
N. Dak. S. Dak.	1 2	- 5	-	-	-	-	1	- 2	-	-	-	
Nebr.	1	3	-	4	-	-	2	1	-	-	-	
	100	13	-	2	-	-	4	25	-	2	-	
Del.	100	90 2	-	-	15	20	/3	- 69	-	-	2	
Md.	10	17	1	4	3	4	18	25	-	-	1	
Va.	17	14	-	1	2	2	5	7	-	-	-	
W. Va. N.C.	2 18	1 14	-	2	- 3	- 13	- 28	22	-	-	- 1	
S.C.	6	16	-	4	2	1	12	5	-	-	-	
Ga. Fla.	19 28	14	-	-	- 4	-	9	5 5	-	-	-	
E.S. CENTRAL	36	57	-	1	3	-	20	29	-	-	-	
Ky. Tenn	9 14	12 19	-	-	-	-	12 1	9 13	-	-	-	
Ala.	12	16	-	1	1	-	7	6	-	-	-	
IVIISS.	1	10	-	-	2	-	-	1	-	-	-	
W.S. CENTRAL Ark.	25 4	58 13	1	1	14	1	5 5	32	-	-	4	
La. Okla	13	30 12	-	-	2	-	-	2	-	-	-	
Tex.	-	3	-	-	11	-	-	24	-	-	4	
MOUNTAIN	39	55	1	4	7	5	187	197	-	-	1	
Mont. Idaho	1 5	- 6	1	1	-	- 1	1 32	1 80	-	-	-	
Wyo.	-	2	-	-	-	- 2	-	1	-	-	-	
N. Mex.	6	7	-	1	Ň	1	32	10	-	-	-	
Ariz. Utab	11	18 3	-	-	- 4	-	17 4	40 21	-	-	- 1	
Nev.	1	2	U	2	1	U	2	2	U	-	-	
PACIFIC	159	112	5	48	32	16	105	445	-	-	-	
vvasn. Oreg.	13	16 25	Ň	N N	Ň	14	41	1/2	-	-	-	
Calif.	126	63	5	45	26	2	45	254	-	-	-	
Hawaii	2	4	-	1	5	-	1	14	-	-	-	
Guam	-	-	U	-	1	U	-	-	U	-	-	
P.R. V.I.	-	2 U	U U	-	Ū	U U	-	Ū	U U	-	- U	
Amer. Samoa C.N.M.I.	-	U	U	-	U	U	-	U	U	-	U	

# TABLE III. (Cont'd) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases preventable by vaccination, United States, weeks ending March 25, 2000, and March 27, 1999 (12th Week)

N: Not notifiable U: Unavailable

- : no reported cases

	All Causes, By Age (Years)			P&I⁺		All Causes, By Age (Years)					P&I⁺				
Reporting Area	All Ages	≥65	45-64	25-44	1-24	<1	Total	Reporting Area	All Ages	≥65	45-64	25-44	1-24	<1	Total
NEW ENGLAND Boston, Mass. Bridgeport, Conn. Cambridge, Mass. Fall River, Mass. Hartford, Conn. Lowell, Mass. Lynn, Mass. New Bedford, Mas New Haven, Conn Providence, R.I. Somerville, Mass. Springfield, Mass Waterbury, Conn.	593 160 35 22 57 30 11 ss. 25 30 31 25 30 30 31 34 5 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30	436 110 28 17 23 36 24 10 21 26 29 5 38 20	112 36 4 3 2 12 4 - 4 10 4 - 8 5	32 11 2 1 5 1 1 - 4 1 3	8 3 - 2 1 - - - 1 -	5 - 1 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	66 18 3 5 1 4 4 1 3 7 - 1 6 4	S. ATLANTIC Atlanta, Ga. Baltimore, Md. Charlotte, N.C. Jacksonville, Fla Miami, Fla. Norfolk, Va. Richmond, Va. Savannah, Ga. St. Petersburg, F Tampa, Fla. Washington, De	1,631 U 196 117 . 113 102 73 66 56 56 56 56 56 . 0 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	1,041 U 106 75 79 62 45 48 45 U 128 441 12	366 U 50 26 24 29 15 14 6 U 35 159 8	140 25 10 4 9 6 3 4 U 15 8 6	41 5 1 2 1 3 1 - U 5 23 - 23	41 U 10 5 4 1 4 - 1 U - 16 -	108 U 27 10 7 8 5 11 2 U 16 22
Worcester, Mass. MID. ATLANTIC Albany, N.Y. Allentown, Pa. Buffalo, N.Y. Camden, N.J. Elizabeth, N.J. Erie, Pa.§	72 2,381 42 U 82 27 19 51 36	49 1,652 32 U 59 17 17 34 24	20 487 5 U 16 4 - 12 7	2 162 4 U 3 2 2 5	1 37 1 U 1 2 - 3	- 42 - U 2 1 -	9 117 7 U 4 1 2	E.S. CENTRAL Birmingham, Al Chattanooga, Te Knoxville, Tenn. Lexington, Ky. Memphis, Tenn. Mobile, Ala. Montgomery, A Nashville, Tenn.	868 a. 158 ann. 114 99 28 . 176 100 la. 53 140	594 102 85 73 18 110 75 37 94	156 30 18 16 5 35 15 7 30	80 15 9 6 5 22 8 7 8 7 8	13 3 1 2 - 1 - 2 4	25 8 1 2 - 8 2 - 4	86 20 11 5 7 11 5 12 15
New York City, N.J. Paterson, N.J. Philadelphia, Pa. Pittsburgh, Pa.§ Reading, Pa. Rochester, N.Y. Schenectady, N.Y. Scranton, Pa.§ Syracuse, N.Y. Trenton, N.J. Utica, N.Y. Yonkers, N.Y.	7. 1,122 56 20 420 45 44 130 27 30 177 32 21 U	756 276 31 31 106 22 27 143 25 17 U	, 262 18 10 83 4 7 19 5 3 24 5 3 U	375135645 - 711U	12 3 1 10 1 2 - - 1 - U	14 3 - 16 3 - - - 2 1 - U	25 6 2 33 6 - 7 - 3 16 3 2 U	W.S. CENTRAL Austin, Tex. Baton Rouge, La Corpus Christi, T Dallas, Tex. El Paso, Tex. Ft. Worth, Tex. Houston, Tex. Little Rock, Ark. New Orleans, La San Antonio, Te Shreveport, La. Tulsa, Okla.	1,612 53 . 41 Fex. 75 199 89 125 432 68 . 117 x. 221 88 104	1,057 37 28 51 133 51 93 233 47 78 163 67 76	318 11 9 13 36 20 22 96 14 27 40 14 16	132 2 5 16 9 7 59 4 8 11 2 7	58 3 2 5 5 3 1 28 1 3 2 4 1	44 - 932 162 151 4	136 4 9 21 2 19 28 4 10 20 11 6
E.N. CENTRAL Akron, Ohio Canton, Ohio Chicago, III. Cincinnati, Ohio Cleveland, Ohio Columbus, Ohio Dayton, Ohio Detroit, Mich. Evansville, Ind. Gary, Ind. Grand Rapids, Mic Indianapolis, Ind. Lansing, Mich. Milwaukee, Wis. Peoria, III. South Bend, Ind. Toledo, Ohio Youngstown, Ohio	1,994 49 33 469 1299 205 125 186 362 14 54 49 28 118 49 40 54 67 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70	1,352 30 311 94 U 132 90 100 28 45 4 255 130 19 86 431 36 61 61	4095 96620041225471146508242410165	145 2 5 40 5 U 20 6 24 1 4 2 2 8 1 6 2 4 3 6 4	50 2 - 11 1 U 9 3 6 - 3 - 7 - - 1 4 3 -	36 - 9 3 U 3 4 2 - 2 1 2 4 - 2 2 - 1 - 1	186 5 2 61 60 UT 6 4 2 3 - 2 27 1 1 3 4 4 6 2	MOUNTAIN Albuquerque, N Boise, Idaho Colo. Springs, C Denver, Colo. Las Vegas, Nev. Ogden, Utah Phoenix, Ariz. Pueblo, Colo. Salt Lake City, U Tucson, Ariz. PACIFIC Berkeley, Calif. Fresno, Calif. Glendale, Calif. Honolulu, Hawa Long Beach, Cal Los Angeles, Cal Pasadena, Calif. Portland, Oreg.	1,050 .M. 102 45 olo. 58 219 30 173 30 173 31 tah 110 164 1,753 14 101 32 if. 89 if. 563 36 110	681 70 35 42 63 146 25 82 67 126 1,266 9 67 30 48 56 430 27 81	222 18 5 8 30 55 3 50 7 23 23 313 4 27 1 7 20 91 4 20	88 4 6 14 12 1 2 11 9 122 - 3 1 4 9 32 5 6;	28 312221 10-34 29-2-1 -8-2	27 3 9 3 - 6 - 6 - 23 1 2 - 2 4 2 - 1	93 7 2 3 9 22 1 8 2 0 9 149 14 7 3 12 60 3 5
W.N. CENTRAL Des Moines, Iowa Duluth, Minn. Kansas City, Kans. Kansas City, Mo. Lincoln, Nebr. Minneapolis, Min Omaha, Nebr. St. Louis, Mo. St. Paul, Minn. Wichita, Kans.	826 83 27 103 52 n. 148 90 127 102 67	608 65 20 75 47 116 64 70 80 51	128 13 3 4 13 4 13 4 13 18 28 14 13	4 44 3 1 7 - 6 2 17 5 2	- 22 1 2 1 5 1 3 1 6 2 -	24 1 1 3 5 5 6 1 1	2 57 5 1 2 4 3 21 4 4 5 8	Sacramento, Cai San Francisco, C San Francisco, C San Jose, Calif. Santa Cruz, Cali Seattle, Wash. Spokane, Wash. Tacoma, Wash. TOTAL	lif. U . 173 calif. U 199 f. 31 174 56 113 12,708 <sup>1</sup>	U 121 U 134 26 113 42 82 8,687	U 37 U 39 3 34 8 18 2,511	U 12 U 17 2 15 5 11 945	U 2 5 - 7 - 2 286	U 1 4 - 5 1 - 267	U 12 U 15 5 2 6 5 998

# TABLE IV. Deaths in 122 U.S. cities,\* week ending March 25, 2000 (12th Week)

U: Unavailable -:no reported cases \*Mortality data in this table are voluntarily reported from 122 cities in the United States, most of which have populations of 100,000 or more. A death is reported by the place of its occurrence and by the week that the death certificate was filed. Fetal deaths are not included. \*Pneumonia and influenza. \*Because of changes in reporting methods in this Pennsylvania city, these numbers are partial counts for the current week. Complete counts will be available in 4 to 6 weeks. \*Total includes unknown ages.

# Contributors to the Production of the *MMWR* (Weekly) Weekly Notifiable Disease Morbidity Data and 122 Cities Mortality Data

Samuel L. Groseclose, D.V.M., M.P.H.

State Support Team Robert Fagan Jose Aponte Paul Gangarosa, M.P.H. Gerald Jones David Nitschke Carol A. Worsham

**CDC Operations Team** Carol M. Knowles Deborah A. Adams Willie J. Anderson Patsy A. Hall Pearl Sharp Kathryn Snavely

The Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report (MMWR) Series is prepared by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and is available free of charge in electronic format and on a paid subscription basis for paper copy. To receive an electronic copy on Friday of each week, send an e-mail message to *listserv@listserv.cdc.gov*. The body content should read *SUBscribe mmwr-toc*. Electronic copy also is available from CDC's World-Wide Web server at *http://www.cdc.gov/* or from CDC's file transfer protocol server at *ftp.cdc.gov*. To subscribe for paper copy, contact Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 20402; telephone (202) 512-1800.

Data in the weekly *MMWR* are provisional, based on weekly reports to CDC by state health departments. The reporting week concludes at close of business on Friday; compiled data on a national basis are officially released to the public on the following Friday. Address inquiries about the *MMWR* Series, including material to be considered for publication, to: Editor, *MMWR* Series, Mailstop C-08, CDC, 1600 Clifton Rd., N.E., Atlanta, GA 30333; telephone (888) 232-3228.

All material in the *MMWR* Series is in the public domain and may be used and reprinted without permission; citation as to source, however, is appreciated.

Director, Centers for Disease	Acting Director,	Writers-Editors,				
Control and Prevention	Epidemiology Program Office	<i>MMWR</i> (weekly)				
Jeffrey P. Koplan, M.D., M.P.H.	Barbara R. Holloway, M.P.H.	Jill Crane				
Acting Deputy Director for Science	Editor, <i>MMWR</i> Series	David C. Johnson				
and Public Health, Centers for	John W. Ward, M.D.	Teresa F. Rutledge				
Disease Control and Prevention Lynne S. Wilcox, M.D., M.P.H.	Acting Managing Editor, <i>MMWR</i> (weekly) Caran R. Wilbanks	Desktop Publishing Lynda G. Cupell Morie M. Higgins Cheryle R. Reynolds				
☆U.S. Government Printing Office: 2000-533-206/08062 Region IV						