Newborn Screening Quality Assurance Program

# T-Cell Receptor Circle in Dried Blood Spots Proficiency Testing Program (TRECPT)

# 2017 Quarter 3 August

# Introduction

\*\*AMENDED REPORT\*\* This report has been amended and supersedes Quarterly Report Volume 7, No.2. Amendments are as follows: revision of Table 5 on Page 3, and provided assessment clarification in the Evaluations section on Page 4.

This is a summary of data reported within the specified data-reporting period for the Quarter 3, 2017, proficiency testing (PT) program for T-cell receptor excision circle (TREC) analysis in dried blood spots (DBS) to detect severe combined immunodeficiency (SCID). The tables within this report provide the certification profiles for the specimens, summary of reported categorical results and the verification of your reported data.

# Certification of PT Specimens

This panel consisted of five DBS specimens prepared from human blood, including cord blood from unaffected individuals and modified adult blood depleted of mononuclear cells or leukocytes (specimens 317R1, 317R2, 317R3, 317R4, and 317R5). Table 1 shows the certification and description of the specimens in the panel.

Specimen Number	No Follow-up Required	Follow-up Required	Specimen Description	Reference Gene Assessment	
317R1	1		Normal specimen; Lower TREC level , reference gene level within standard reference range	1	
317R2		2	SCID-like specimen; very low or no TREC, reference gene level within standard reference range	1	
317R3		2	Blood with 'buffy-coat' removed - TREC and reference gene levels both below standard reference range	2	
317R4	1		Normal specimen; Lower TREC level , reference gene level within standard reference range	1	
317R5	1		Normal specimen; Medium TREC level, reference gene level within standard reference range	1	

Table 1. Specimen Certification and Description

# Distribution of PT Specimens

We distribute this PT report to all participants, state laboratory directors, and program colleagues by request. On July 10, 2017 a panel of five unknown DBS specimens was distributed to 37 domestic, 20 international, and two manufacturer laboratories to analyze TREC content in peripheral blood.

# Participant Results

# TREC Level Assessment

We received data from 50 of 59 participants by the data reporting deadline. For this quarter, Table 2 summarizes reported frequency of clinical assessments. Table 3a provides the methods used to assess TREC levels, and table 3b shows the frequency of False-positive results by each method. Table 4 shows the frequency of methods used to prepare DNA from DBS. We requested only qualitative, categorical results: 'No follow-up required (Screen Negative)' or 'Follow-up required' for each specimen since quantitative results vary significantly between laboratories using different test methods and calibrators.

Table 2. Frequency of Clinical Assessments

Specimen Number	No Follow-up Required	Follow-up Required	
317R1	45	4	
317R2	0	50	
317R3	0	50	
317R4	50	0	
317R5	50	0	

Table 3a. Laboratory Methods For TREC

Method	Number of Laboratories	
63 Real Time PCR—Singleplex	9	
70 EnLite™ Neonatal TREC kit	14	
71 Real Time PCR - Multiplex	26	
Other	1	

Table 3b. Frequency of False-positive TREC Assessments by Method

Method	False-positive results	
63 Real Time PCR—Singleplex	1	
70 EnLite™ Neonatal TREC kit	2	
71 Real Time PCR - Multiplex	1	

Table 4. Frequency of DNA Preparation Methods

Method	Number of Laboratories	
1 In situ/on card (no DNA extraction) with washing step(s)	12	
2 EnLite™ (no DNA extraction)	14	
3 DNA extracted at 99°C with washing step(s)	14	
4 DNA extracted at 95°C with washing step(s)	6	
5 DNA extracted at 70°C with washing step(s)	3	
6 DNA extracted with no washing step	0	
7 Other	1	
Not provided	0	

# Reference Gene Assessment

Tables 5-7 give the frequency of assessments for the reference gene, the reference genes used, and the frequency of assessments by method and specimen for detecting the reference gene, respectively.

Table 5. Frequency of Reference Gene Assessments

Specimen Number	Within Standard Reference Range	Outside Standard Reference Range		
317R1	21	1		
317R2*	50	0		
317R3*	0	50		
317R4	21	1		
317R5	21	0		

<sup>\*</sup>Expected TREC assessment, "Follow-up Required".

Note: Laboratories using a singleplex method do not test for the reference gene when the TREC level is "normal".

Table 6. Frequency of Reference Genes

Method	Number of Laboratories	
RNase P coding segments	24	
Beta-actin	24	
Serum albumin	0	
TERT - Telomerase Reverse	0	
Other	1	
Not provided	1	

Table 7. Reference Gene Assessment Category by Method (for "Follow-up Required" Clinical Assessment Specimens)

	317R2		317R3	
	1	2	1	2
63 Real Time PCR - Singleplex	9	0	0	9
70 EnLite™ Neonatal TREC kit	14	0	0	14
71 Real Time PCR - Multiplex	26	0	0	26
Other	1	0	0	1

<sup>1 =</sup> Reference Gene Level Within Standard Reference Range

Note: A normal assessment was assumed when an assessment code when not provided on the data report form.

<sup>2 =</sup> Reference Gene Level Outside Standard Reference Range

# **Evaluations**

#### TREC Assessments

Expected TREC assessments are based on the source of specimen and previously established consensus categorical results from core laboratories. To be evaluated, a PT specimen must have 80% or more agreement among domestic laboratories.

No False-negatives and four False-positive TREC assessments were reported this quarter. False-positive assessments should be monitored and kept as low as possible.

#### Reference Gene Assessments

One of nine laboratories using Real Time PCR Singleplex reported the reference gene level of specimen 317R1 as "Outside Reference Range". This specimen represented a normal specimen with lower TREC level and reference gene level within standard reference range. One of 14 laboratories using the EnLite™ Neonatal TREC kit reported the reference gene level of specimen 317R4 as "Outside Reference Range". This specimen also represented a normal specimen with lower TREC level and reference gene level within standard reference range.

# **Future Shipments**

The Newborn Screening Quality Assurance Program will ship next quarter's PT specimens for TREC on October 2, 2017.

## Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Barbara Waters-Pick (Duke University Medical Center) for the supply of umbilical cord blood.

This program is co-sponsored by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and The Association of Public Health Laboratories (APHL)

# NEWBORN SCREENING QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAM

Direct inquiries to:

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention 4770 Buford Highway NE, MS/F19 Atlanta, GA 30341-3724 Phone: 404-488-7945 Email: jvm0@cdc.gov

Editors

Joanne Mei
Irene Williams



This NEWBORN SCREENING QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAM report is an internal publication distributed to program participants and selected program colleagues. The laboratory quality assurance program is a project cosponsored by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and the Association of Public Health Laboratories.

# CENTERS FOR DISEASE CONTROL AND PREVENTION (CDC) ATLANTA, GA 30341

## **Acting Director**

Brenda Fitzgerald, M.D.

#### Director

National Center for Environmental Health

Patrick Breysse, Ph.D.

#### Director

Division of Laboratory Sciences James L. Pirkle, M.D., Ph.D.

#### Chief

Newborn Screening and Molecular Biology Branch Carla Cuthbert, Ph.D.

#### Contributors:

Carter Asef
John Bernstein
Quan Bui

Daniel Mandel, Ph.D.
Joanne Mei, Ph.D.
Kristina Mercer, Ph.D.

Paul Dantonio Gyliann Peña

Sharon Flores Konstantinos Petritis, Ph.D.

Elizabeth M. Hall
Christopher Haynes, Ph.D.
Brandon Kenwood
Francis Lee, Ph.D.
Livia Li, Ph.D.
Sean Scott
Robert Vogt, Ph.D.
Irene Williams
Sophia Winchester
Golriz Yazdanpanah

Lixia Li, Ph.D.

Goiriz Yazdar

Sherri Zobel

Timothy Lim, Ph.D.

**Production:** 

Sarah Brown Kizzy Stewart

Kimberly Coulter

#### ASSOCIATION OF PUBLIC HEALTH LABORATORIES SILVER SPRING, MD 20910

## President

Ewa King, PhD

## Chairman, Newborn Screening and Genetics in Public Health Committee

Michele Caggana, Sc.D., FACMG

# Chairman, Newborn Screening Quality Assurance Quality Control Subcommittee

Patricia R. Hunt, B.A. and Joseph Orsini, Ph.D.

## Chairman, Newborn Screening Molecular Subcommittee

Rachel Lee, Ph.D.

#### **INQUIRIES TO:**

Irene Williams, Editor • Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) • Newborn Screening Quality Assurance Program Mailstop F-24 • 4770 Buford Highway, N.E. • Atlanta, GA 30341-3724

Phone (770) 488-4582 • NSQAPDMT@cdc.gov

E-mail: IWilliams1@cdc.gov