Newborn Screening Quality Assurance Program Lysosomal Storage Disorders Proficiency Testing Program (LSDPT)

In co-sponsorship with Association of Public Health Laboratories (APHL) Provided by the Newborn Screening and Molecular Biology Branch Centers for Disease Control and Prevention 4770 Buford Highway NE, MS/F19 Atlanta, GA 30341-3724 Email: NSQAPDMT@cdc.gov

Quarterly Report Volume 7, No. 3a Issued: December 20, 2018

Report Authorization

This report has been reviewed and authorized by Dr. Joanne Mei, Laboratory Chief, Newborn Screening Quality Assurance Program.

Confidentiality Statement

NSQAP participant information and evaluations are strictly confidential and shared only with individual participants, unless written authorization for release is received.

Introduction

*****AMENDED REPORT****** This amended report replaces The Lysosomal Storage Disorders Proficiency Testing Report, Volume 7, No. 3. This report corrects the expected values for GALC, GAA and IDUA in Table 1. Expected Values – GALC, GAA and IDUA (μmol/hr/L).

This report summarizes data collected within the specified period for the Quarter 4, 2018, proficiency testing (PT) program for Lysosomal Storage Disorders (LSD) in dried blood spots (DBS) to detect Krabbe disease, Pompe disease and Mucopolysaccharidosis Type I (MPS-1). Reports are distributed to all participants, state laboratory directors, and program colleagues by request. The tables within this report provide certification profiles for the distributed specimens and a summary of submitted analytical and categorical results. An evaluation of your laboratory's data is attached to this summary.

Certification of PT Specimens

This panel of DBS specimens was prepared from human blood, including cord blood from unaffected individuals and leuko-depleted adult blood restored with lymphoblast cells derived from patients with LSD (specimens 418L1, 418L2, 418L3, 418L4, and 418L5). Table 1 shows the expected specimen values and clinical assessments for Galactocerebrosidase (GALC) for Krabbe disease, Acid Alpha-Glucosidase (GAA) for Pompe disease, and alpha-L-iduronidase (IDUA) for Mucopolysaccharidosis Type I in whole blood. The expected values were based on NSQAP assayed values by FIA-MS/MS.

Specimen	Expected Value GALC	Krabbe Assessment Code*	Expected Value GAA	Pompe Assessment Code*	Expected Value IDUA	MPS-1 Assessment Code*
418L1	0.37	2	10.96	1	19.68	1
418L2	6.70	1	6.17	1	6.83	1
418L3	14.18	1	6.09	1	6.72	1
418L4	5.94	1	6.53	1	7.88	1
418L5	7.14	1	24.39	1	0.13	2

Table 1. Expected Values – GALC, GAA and IDUA (µmol/hr/L)

*1 = No follow-up required (Screen Negative)

2 = Follow-up required (Screen Positive)

3 = Borderline

Distribution of PT Specimens

On September 25, 2018, a PT panel of five unknown DBS specimens was distributed to 21 domestic laboratories.

Participant Results

Mean Reported Cutoff: 0.68

Quantitative Data

We processed data from 17 participants. Laboratories were asked to report quantitative results for GALC, GAA, and IDUA in µmol/hr/L. For GALC, two laboratories reported using LC-MS/MS, eight used an FIA-MS/MS non-kit multiplexed enzyme reaction, and one used a fluorometric method. For GAA, two laboratories reported using LC-MS/MS, 11 used an FIA-MS/MS non-kit multiplexed enzyme reaction, 3 reported using digital microfluidics, and 1 used a fluorometric method. For IDUA, two laboratories reported using LC-MS/MS, nine reported using FIA-MS/MS non-kit multiplexed enzyme reaction, three reported using digital microfluidics, and one used a fluorometric method. The statistical summary analysis and cutoff information for all methods is provided in Tables 2a-c.

Table 2a. Screening Results for GALC - All methods

Range of Reported Cutoffs: <0.18 – 1.5 Mean Specimen Ν SD (µmol/hr/L) 418L1 0.23 11 0.42 418L2 11 5.10 2.03 418L3 11 11.53 4.87 418L4 11 4.90 1.80 418L5 11 5.51 1.76

Table 2b. Screening Results for GAA – All methods

Mean Reported Cutoff: 3.73 Range of Reported Cutoffs: <0.85 – 8.54

Specimen	Ν	Mean (µmol/hr/L)	SD
418L1	17	14.40	8.12
418L2	17	9.51	6.25
418L3	17	9.01	5.76
418L4	17	10.96	7.99
418L5	17	29.21	17.29

Table 2c. Screening Results for IDUA – All methods

Mean Reported Cutoff: 2.48 Range of Reported Cutoffs: 0.66 – 4.89

Specimen	Ν	Mean (µmol/hr/L)	SD
418L1	15	29.48	17.18
418L2	15	10.59	7.39
418L3	15	11.01	8.02
418L4	15	13.00	9.75
418L5	15	1.36	2.11

Clinical Assessments

Laboratories were asked to report qualitative results as "No follow-up required (Screen Negative)" or "Follow-up required (Screen Positive)". A "Borderline" assessment category is included to more accurately assess those labs that identify milder disease forms, carriers, or pseudo deficiencies. The frequency distribution of participants' clinical assessments is shown in Tables 3a-c.

Table 3a. Frequency Distribution of Reported Clinical Assessments - GALC

Specimen	No follow-up required (Screen Negative)	Follow-up required (Screen Positive)
418L1	1	10
418L2	11	0
418L3	11	0
418L4	11	0
418L5	11	0

Specimen	No follow-up required (Screen Negative)	Follow-up required (Screen Positive)
418L1	17	0
418L2	17	0
418L3	17	0
418L4	17	0
418L5	17	0

Table 3b. Frequency Distribution of Reported Clinical Assessments - GAA

Table 3c. Frequency Distribution of Reported Clinical Assessments - IDUA

Specimen	No follow-up required (Screen Negative)	Follow-up required (Screen Positive)	Borderline
418L1	15	0	0
418L2	15	0	0
418L3	15	0	0
418L4	15	0	0
418L5	0	13	2

Evaluations

Participants reported one False-negative and no False-positives for Krabbe; no False-negatives and no False-positives for Pompe; and no False-positives or False-negatives for MPS-1.

Future Shipments

The Newborn Screening Quality Assurance Program will ship next quarter's LSDPT specimens on January 15, 2019.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Barbara Waters-Pick (Duke University Medical Center) for the supply of umbilical cord units.

The content of this report may also be located on our website at: <u>https://www.cdc.gov/labstandards/nsqap_reports.html</u>

Acknowledgement

This *NEWBORN SCREENING QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAM* report is an internal publication distributed to program participants and selected program colleagues. The laboratory quality assurance program is a project cosponsored by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and the Association of Public Health Laboratories.

CENTERS FOR DISEASE CONTROL AND PREVENTION (CDC) ATLANTA, GA 30341

Director

Robert R. Redfield, M.D.

Director

National Center for Environmental Health Patrick Breysse, Ph.D.

Director

Division of Laboratory Sciences James L. Pirkle, M.D., Ph.D.

Chief

Newborn Screening and Molecular Biology Branch Carla Cuthbert, Ph.D.

Contributors

Carter Asef	Timothy Lim, Ph.D.
Nicolle Baird, Ph.D.	Daniel Mandel, Ph.D.
John Bernstein	Joanne Mei. Ph.D.
Quan Bui	Kristina Mercer, Ph.D.
Vinay Anamula	Gyliann Peña
Paul Dantonio	Konstantinos Petritis, Ph.D.
Sharon Flores	Austin Pickens, Ph.D.
Elizabeth M. Hall	E. Shannon Torres, Ph.D.
Christopher Haynes, Ph.D.	Robert Vogt, Ph.D.
Laura Centeno Hildreth	Irene Williams
Brandon Kenwood, Ph.D.	Sophia Winchester
Francis Lee, Ph.D.	Golriz Yazdanpanah
Lixia Li, Ph.D.	Sherri Zobel

Production

Kimberly Coulter Joy Pressley Kizzy Stewart

ASSOCIATION OF PUBLIC HEALTH LABORATORIES SILVER SPRING, MD 20910

President

Joanne Bartkus, PhD, D(ABMM)

Chairman, Newborn Screening and Genetics in Public Health Committee

Michele Caggana, Sc.D., FACMG

Chairman, Newborn Screening Quality Assurance Quality Control Subcommittee Patricia R. Hunt, B.A. and Joseph Orsini, Ph.D.

Chairman, Newborn Screening Molecular Subcommittee Rachel Lee, Ph.D.

INQUIRIES TO:

Irene Williams, Editor Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), Newborn Screening Quality Assurance Program Mailstop F-24, 4770 Buford Highway, N.E., Atlanta, GA 30341-3724 E-mail: NSQAPDMT@cdc.gov