# Newborn Screening Quality Assurance Program anti-*Toxoplasma* Antibodies in Dried Blood Spots Proficiency Testing Program (TOXOPT)

In co-sponsorship with Association of Public Health Laboratories (APHL) Provided by the Newborn Screening and Molecular Biology Branch Centers for Disease Control and Prevention 4770 Buford Highway NE, MS/F19 Atlanta, GA 30341-3724

Email: NSQAPDMT@cdc.gov

Quarterly Report Volume 15, No.3

Issued: November 28, 2019

# **Report Authorization**

This report has been reviewed and authorized by Dr. Joanne Mei, Laboratory Chief, Newborn Screening Quality Assurance Program.

# **Confidentiality Statement**

NSQAP participant information and evaluations are strictly confidential and shared only with individual participants, unless written authorization for release is received.

# Introduction

This report summarizes the data reported within the specified period for Quarter 4, 2019, anti-*Toxoplasma* Antibody in dried blood spots (DBS) Proficiency Testing (PT) Program. It is distributed to all participants, state laboratory directors, and program colleagues by request. The tables within this report provide certification profiles for the distributed specimens, statistical analysis of the quantitative data, and frequency distribution summaries for expected interpretations. An evaluation of your laboratory's data is attached to this summary.

# **Certification of PT Specimens**

This DBS panel was prepared from serum samples positive for *Toxoplasma* IgG and IgM purchased from SeraCare (Medford, Massachusetts) and from human serum positive for exposure to *Toxoplasma gondii* from a CDC specimen bank. All serum samples were mixed with washed red blood cells and the final hematocrit was adjusted to 50%. Table 1 provides the anti-*Toxoplasma* IgM expected values based on the NSQAP assayed values determined for each specimen by fluoroimmunoassay. Expected Clinical Assessments were based on a cutoff of 10 EIU/mL.

Table 1. NSQAP anti-Toxoplasma IgM Expected Values

Specimen	Expected Value (EIU/mL)	SD	Clinical Assessment
419T1	0.0	5.7	Toxoplasmosis antibody non-reactive
419T2	0.0	6.7	Toxoplasmosis antibody non-reactive
419T3	31.2	12.3	Toxoplasmosis antibody reactive
419T4	108.9	24.5	Toxoplasmosis antibody reactive
419T5	123.9	20.3	Toxoplasmosis antibody reactive

# **Distribution of PT Specimens**

On September 24, 2019, a panel of five unknown DBS specimens was distributed to two laboratories in the United States and 16 laboratories in other countries.

# **Participant Results**

# **Quantitative Screening Results**

We processed data from ten participants. Laboratories were asked to report IgM screening results in Absorbance (OD) or other units. Seven laboratories reported using an enzyme immunoassay method with units reported in OD to detect IgM. Two reported using an enzyme immunoassay with units reported in EIU/mL and one used a fluorometric enzyme immunoassay (EIU/mL). Overall statistics and cutoff information for the various immunoassay methods are summarized in Tables 2a and 2b. Extreme outlier (greater than 4 SD) data was removed from these statistics.

Table 2a. Overall Statistics – Screening Results for Immunoassay Methods

Method/Antibody: Enzyme Immunoassay IgM (OD)

Mean Reported Cutoff: 0.239 Cutoff Range: 0.100 – 0.300

Specimen	N	Mean	SD
419T1	7	0.053	0.05
419T2	7	0.045	0.03
419T3	7	0.152	0.09
419T4	7	0.232	0.12
419T5	7	0.240	0.13

Table 2b. Overall Statistics – Screening Results for Immunoassay Methods

Method/Antibody: Enzyme Immunoassay IgM (EIU/mL)

Mean Reported Cutoff: 65.0 Cutoff Range: 10 - 120

Specimen	N	Mean	SD
419T1	2	32.3	36.3
419T2	2	16.8	19.5
419T3	2	67.9	36.1
419T4	2	130.1	12.9
419T5	2	139.3	10.1

# **Quantitative Confirmatory Results**

Participants were asked to confirm specimens that screened above their screening cutoff for *Toxoplasma*-antibodies. Three laboratories provided confirmatory results using an enzyme immunoassay for IgG.

## **Qualitative Clinical Assessments**

Qualitative assessments may differ by participant because of specific assessment practices. Laboratory results were evaluated on the basis of the final assessment provided (screening only or confirmatory results). The frequency distribution of participant screening for IgM and confirmatory testing for IgG are shown in Tables 3a and 3b.

Table 3a. Frequency Distribution of Reported Clinical Assessments —All Methods

Screening Testing (IgM)

Specimen	Toxoplasma antibody Non-reactive	Toxoplasma antibody Reactive
419T1	9	0
419T2	9	0
419T3*	6	4
419T4	3	7
419T5	3	7

<sup>\*</sup>Specimen 419T3 was not evaluated due to lack of 80% participant consensus.

Table 3b. Frequency Distribution of Reported Clinical Assessments—All Methods Confirmatory Testing (IgG)

Toxoplasma antibody Toxoplasma antibody Specimen Non-reactive Reactive 419T1 2 0 419T2 2 0 419T3 0 2 419T4 0 2 419T5 0 2

# **Evaluations**

Overall, participants reported four misclassfifications. Specimen 419T3 was not evaluated due to lack of 80% participant consensus.

# **Future Shipments**

The Newborn Screening Quality Assurance Program will ship next quarter's TOXOPT specimens on January 14, 2020.

The content of this report may also be located on our website at: <a href="https://www.cdc.gov/labstandards/nsqap\_reports.html">https://www.cdc.gov/labstandards/nsqap\_reports.html</a>

This NEWBORN SCREENING QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAM report is an internal publication distributed to program participants and selected program colleagues. The laboratory quality assurance program is a project cosponsored by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and the Association of Public Health Laboratories.

## CENTERS FOR DISEASE CONTROL AND PREVENTION (CDC) ATLANTA, GA 30341

#### Director

Robert R. Redfield, M.D.

#### Director

National Center for Environmental Health Patrick Breysse, Ph.D.

#### Director

Division of Laboratory Sciences James L. Pirkle, M.D., Ph.D.

### Chief

Newborn Screening and Molecular Biology Branch Carla Cuthbert, Ph.D.

#### **Contributors**

Carter Asef, BS LiXia Li, Ph.D Nicole Baird, Ph.D Tim Lim, Ph.D John Bernstein, MS Daniel Mandel, Ph.D Quan Bui, MS Joanne Mei, Ph.D Suzanne Cordovado, Ph.D Kristina Mercer, Ph.D Paul Dantonio, MS Stanimila Nikolova, Ph.D Katherine Duneman, MS Gyliann Pena, MS Kostas Petritis, Ph.D Sharon Flores, MS Christopher Greene, Ph.D C. Austin Pickens, Ph.D Blanche Temate, Ph.D Elizabeth Hall, BS E. Shannon Torres, Ph.D Laura Hancock, MS Robert Vogt, Ph.D Christopher Haynes, Ph.D Jessica Hendricks, MS Irene Williams, MS Miyono Hendrix, MS Sophia Winchester, BS Laura C. Hildreth, BS Golriz Yazdanpanah, MS Deborah Koontz, Ph.D Sherri Zobel, BS

## **Production**

Vinay Anumula, MS Kizzy Stewart Joy Pressley

Francis Lee, Ph.D

## ASSOCIATION OF PUBLIC HEALTH LABORATORIES SILVER SPRING, MD 20910

#### President

Joanne Bartkus, PhD

## Chairman, Newborn Screening and Genetics in Public Health Committee

Michele Caggana, Sc.D., FACMG

## Chairman, Newborn Screening Quality Assurance Quality Control Subcommittee

Patricia R. Hunt, B.A. and Joseph Orsini, Ph.D.

## Chairman, Newborn Screening Molecular Subcommittee

Rachel Lee, Ph.D.

#### **INQUIRIES TO:**

Irene Williams, Editor

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), Newborn Screening Quality Assurance Program

Mailstop F-19, 4770 Buford Highway, N.E., Atlanta, GA 30341-3724

E-mail: NSQAPDMT@cdc.gov