

Novel Zoonotic Avian Influenza A(H3N8) Virus in Chicken, Hong Kong, China

Thomas H.C. Sit, Wanying Sun, Anne C.N. Tse, Christopher J. Brackman, Samuel M.S. Cheng, Amy W. Yan Tang, Jonathan T.L. Cheung, Malik Peiris,¹ Leo L.M. Poon¹

Zoonotic and pandemic influenza continue to pose threats to global public health. Pandemics arise when novel influenza A viruses, derived in whole or in part from animal or avian influenza viruses, adapt to transmit efficiently in a human population that has little population immunity to contain its onward transmission. Viruses of previous pandemic concern, such as influenza A(H7N9), arose from influenza A(H9N2) viruses established in domestic poultry acquiring a hemagglutinin and neuraminidase from influenza A viruses of aquatic waterfowl. We report a novel influenza A(H3N8) virus in chicken that has emerged in a similar manner and that has been recently reported to cause zoonotic disease. Although they are H3 subtype, these avian viruses are antigenically distant from contemporary human influenza A(H3N2) viruses, and there is little cross-reactive immunity in the human population. It is essential to heighten surveillance for these avian A(H3N8) viruses in poultry and in humans.

Diverse influenza A viruses are found in aquatic waterfowl, poultry, swine, horses, aquatic mammals, bats, and domestic pets such as cats and dogs. Although there is a diversity of virus hemagglutinin (H1–H16) and neuraminidase (N1–N9) subtypes in aquatic birds, more restricted numbers of virus subtypes are established in other species, including chicken (1). The high mutation rates associated with an error-prone virus replication complex and the presence of a segmented genome enables genetic reassortment of gene segments of viruses of different species and interspecies transmission and adaptation to new hosts.

Influenza A virus subtypes H9 and H6 have formed established lineages in domestic chicken and

game birds (quail, pheasant) farmed for consumption in Asia (2). The internal gene constellation of H9N2 viruses contains hemagglutinin (HA) and neuraminidase (NA) genes acquired from aquatic waterfowl to generate H5N1, H5N6, H7N9, and H10N8 viruses through genetic reassortment, and many of these viruses also became established in poultry, subsequently posing zoonotic and pandemic threats (3–5). A novel influenza A(H3N8) virus has been recently reported to cause zoonotic infection in Henan Province, China (6).

In this context, we report detection of novel H3N8 viruses recently identified in chicken in live poultry markets and chicken farms in Hong Kong, China, that are genetically similar to the zoonotic H3N8 viruses reported in mainland China (6). We also report that these recent H3N8 viruses have arisen in a manner akin to zoonotic H5N1, H7N9, and H10N8 viruses and that there is little cross-reactive immunity in the human population to these chicken H3N8 viruses.

Methods

Influenza A Virus Surveillance and Virologic Testing of Poultry Farms

The Department of Agriculture, Fisheries and Conservation in Hong Kong routinely conducts virologic surveillance on each batch of chickens from local farms before release for sale. The surveillance is conducted on 30 unvaccinated sentinel chickens co-housed with each chicken flock. During December 14, 2021–January 21, 2022, we obtained oropharyngeal and cloacal swab samples from 30 chickens on each of 28 poultry farms. We combined samples into pools of 6 and placed each pool into a vial of virus transport medium (medium 199 plus antimicrobial drugs).

In a follow-up investigation of 4 farms found positive for H3N8 virus, we conducted more intensive

Author affiliations: Government of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, Hong Kong, China (T.H.C. Sit, A.C.N. Tse, C.J. Brackman); The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong (W. Sun, S.M.S. Cheng, A.W. Yan Tang, J.T.L. Cheung, M. Peiris, L.L.M. Poon)

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2810.221067>

¹These authors contributed equally to this article.

Table 1. Virologic results for local farm chickens positive for avian influenza A(H3N8) virus under active surveillance, Hong Kong, China*

Farm	Date sample collected	No. vials	No. (%) positive by RT-PCR		No. (%) positive by virus isolation	
			H9	H3	H9	H3
A	2021 Dec 14	10	0	6 (60)	0	6 (60)
B	2022 Dec 28	10	0	6 (60)	0	3 (30)
	2022 Feb 21	10	0	0	0	1 (10)
	2022 Mar 7	10	0	6 (60)	0	5 (50)
	2022 Mar 21	10	0	1 (10)	0	1 (10)
C	2022 Jan 12	10	0	6 (60)	0	6 (60)
D	2022 Jan 21	10	0	6 (60)	0	6 (60)

*No other influenza virus subtypes were detected. RT-PCR, reverse transcription PCR.

surveillance during May 2022 to check for any continuing evidence of on-farm viral circulation. We sampled a total of 50 chickens by using oropharyngeal and cloacal swabbing, again in pools containing 6 specimens.

Influenza A Surveillance in Live Poultry Markets

The School of Public Health of The University of Hong Kong routinely conducts surveillance in live poultry market stalls in Hong Kong ($n = 116$) by sampling from each stall fecal droppings ($n = 10$), drinking water in poultry cages ($n = 2$), and chopping boards and the inner wall and outer surface of defeathering machines used ($n = 3$) in preparation of slaughtered poultry for sale (7). All 116 poultry stalls were sampled every 3 months. Samples were individually collected and placed into vials of virus transport medium (medium 199 plus antimicrobial drugs), and samples were kept in cool packs for transport to the laboratory.

Real-Time Reverse Transcription PCR for Detection of Influenza A Viruses

We extracted viral RNA from chicken oropharyngeal and cloacal swab specimens by using the MagNA Pure 96 DNA and Viral NA Small Volume Kit (Roche, <https://lifescience.roche.com>) according to the manufacturer's instructions. We tested eluted specimen RNA by using real-time reverse transcription PCR (RT-PCR) for the influenza A virus matrix (M) gene as described (8).

We tested swab specimen supernatants of all influenza A virus M gene-positive swab specimens by RT-PCR for H5, H7, and H9 (9) and for virus isolation. We identified virus subtype of M gene-positive swab specimens negative for H5, H7, and H9 by using

genetic sequencing of the virus isolate or directly from the swab specimen.

Virus Isolation

We inoculated 0.2 mL of swab specimen supernatant of all influenza A virus M gene-positive swab specimens into the allantoic cavity of three 9–11-day-old specific pathogen-free embryonated eggs and incubated at them at 36°C ($\pm 2^\circ\text{C}$) for 4 days. We candled the eggs daily, and harvested allantoic fluid. We subtyped virus isolates by using hemagglutination inhibition (HI) tests and reference panels of antiserum to a range of influenza virus A subtypes (7).

Genetic Sequencing of Virus Isolates and Phylogenetic Analysis

We deduced near full-length genomes from virus grown in allantoic fluid samples by using an Illumina Sequencing Protocol (<https://www.illumina.com>) as described (5,10–12). We removed low-quality base pairs in the raw data by using Fastp (13) and selected reference sequence by using SPAdes (11) and BLAST (14). We generated consensus sequences by using BWA (<http://arxiv.org/abs/1303.3997>) and Pilon (15) and aligned sequences by using MUSCLE (16) and public sequences from GenBank and GISAID (<https://www.gisaid.org>) (Appendix Table, <https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/28/10/22-1067-App1.pdf>). We constructed phylogenetic trees by using IQtree (17) with the general time-reversible plus gamma model and 1,000 bootstrap replicates.

DNA Bar Coding

We conducted PCR amplification of the mitochondrial cytochrome oxidase I gene for host-species-

Table 2. Retrospective seroprevalence of antibodies to A/chicken/Hong Kong/22-10782/2022 influenza A(H3N8) virus in chicken serum samples collected from affected farms, Hong Kong, China*

Farm	Date samples collected	No.	H3N8 HI titer $\geq 1:16$, no. (%)	H3N8 GMT (95% CI)
A	2022 Feb 16	30	26 (86.7)	28.51 (20.01–40.61)
B	2022 Feb 9	30	2 (6.7)	1.35 (0.96–1.91)
C	2022 Feb 16	30	29 (96.7)	46.31 (31.97–67.09)
D	2022 Feb 24	30	26 (86.7)	16 (10.25–24.99)

*Serologic study was conducted on 28 farms in January–February 2022. Only data for 4 farms positive for H3N8 virus are shown. GMT, geometric mean titer; HI, hemagglutination inhibition.

Table 3. Follow-up virologic results for local chicken farms previously positive for avian influenza A(H3N8) virus, Hong Kong, China*

Farm	Date samples collected	No. samples†	No. (%) positive by RT-PCR			No. (%) positive by virus isolation		
			H9	H3		H9	H3	
A	2022 May 10	50	0	0		0	0	
B	2022 May 11	50	0	0		0	0	
C	2022 May 10	50	2 (4)	0		0	0	
D	2022 May 11	50	0	0		0	0	

*RT-PCR, reverse transcription PCR.
†Includes oropharyngeal and cloacal samples.

identification as described (18). We sequenced the amplified ~700-bp PCR fragment of the cytochrome oxidase I gene by using the 3730xl DNA Analyzer (Applied Biosystems, <https://www.thermofisher.com>) and analyzed by using the barcoding software bold, which provides a taxonomic assignment to the query sequence by using a linear search to collect nearest neighbors (lowest percentage divergence) from a global alignment of all reference sequences (19).

Serologic Analysis

We used the HI test to detect the seroprevalence to 1 of the novel H3N8 viruses, A/chicken/Hong Kong/MKT-AB13cp/2022, and human seasonal virus A/Switzerland/8060/2017 (H3N2) in a panel of age-stratified blood donor serum samples collected during 2019–2020. The study protocol was approved by the University of Hong Kong. We also tested HI titers of a World Health Organization reference antiserum to A/Switzerland/8060/2017 against A/chicken/MKT-AB13cp/2020 H3N8 virus (original serum dilution provided was 1:128) in comparison with the homologous virus A/Switzerland/8060/2017. The HI tests were conducted as described (20,21). We analyzed the effect of age-stratified seroprevalence on the reproduction number (R₀) and population immunity as described (22).

Results

During routine virologic surveillance on chicken farms, H3N8 viruses were first identified on samples collected from 2 broiler farms (farms A and B) in December 2021 and subsequently detected on 2 other broiler farms in January 2022 (farms C and D) (Table

1). On 1 of the farms (B), H3N8 virus was detected on 3 other occasions during February and March 2022.

Of the 4 chicken farms that had positive virologic results, all had serologic evidence (HI titers ≥16) of past influenza A(H3N8) virus infection; 3 of 4 farms had ≥26 of 30 birds sampled on each farm in February 2022 test serologically positive (Table 2). Chicken producers were subsequently advised to conduct thorough disinfection and strengthen farm biosecurity to prevent further spread and eliminate the virus.

Follow-up virologic testing in May 2022 of 150 chickens from each of the 4 positive farms yielded negative results (Table 3). As of the end of June 2022, there has been no additional detection of H3N8 on any farms.

During January 2022–June 2022, we collected and tested 3,525 environmental swab samples of fecal droppings, drinking water in poultry cages, and chopping boards and defeathering machines in live poultry markets and stalls sampled (Table 4). An environmental swab specimen collected from a chicken defeathering machine on January 12 and a swab specimen collected from a poultry chopping board on January 20 from 2 different live poultry markets were positive for influenza A(H3N8) viruses. The second market only sells chicken, and the first market additionally sells chilled dressed duck slaughtered elsewhere. The species of origin from both swab specimens was determined by DNA bar coding to be domestic chicken (*Gallus domesticus*).

We sequenced 7 H3N8 viruses in this study and submitted them to GISAID (Table 5). Phylogenetic analysis of the full-genome sequence of poultry H3N8 viruses showed that the chicken H3N8 viruses from farms and poultry markets are closely related to

Table 4. Samples tested in live poultry markets and those positive for influenza A virus, Hong Kong, China, 2022*

Month	No. swabs tested	No. markets sampled	No. stalls sampled	No. (%) positive by RT-PCR			No. (%) positive by virus isolation		
				H9	H6	H3	H9	H6	H3
Jan	555	25	37		0	2 (0.36)	0	0	2 (0.36)
Feb	435	16	29	6 (1.38)	2 (0.46)	0	4 (0.92)	1 (0.23)	0
Mar	705	34	47	4 (0.57)	0	0	1 (0.14)	0	0
Apr	585	27	39	2 (0.34)	0	0	0	0	0
May	630	25	42	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jun	615	32	41	2 (0.33)	0	0	2 (0.33)	0	0
Total	3,525	159	235	14 (0.40)	2 (0.06)	2 (0.06)	7 (0.20)	1 (0.03)	2 (0.06)

*No other influenza A virus subtypes were detected. RT-PCR, reverse transcription PCR

Table 5. Influenza A (H3N8) viruses genetically sequenced in from chicken farms, live poultry markets, and the Mai Po Wetlands, Hong Kong, China

Virus name	Date of collection	Place and site of collection	DNA barcoding	GISAIID accession no.*
A/chicken/Hong Kong/21-17040/2021 (H3N8)	2021 Dec 13	Farm A	Not relevant	ON909094-ON909101
A/chicken/Hong Kong/21-17632/2021 (H3N8)	2021 Dec 28	Farm B	Not relevant	ON909102-ON909109
A/Env/Hong_Kong/MKT_TYEB_13d2/2022 (H3N8)	2022 Jan 12	Live poultry market, defeathering machine	<i>gallus</i>	EPI_ISL_13566013
A/Env/Hong_Kong/MKT_AB_13cp/2022 (H3N8)	2022 Jan 20	Live poultry market, chopping board	<i>gallus</i>	EPI_ISL_13566014
A/Env/HongKong/MP16_1834/2016 (H3N8)	2016 Dec 21	Mai Po wetlands	<i>Anas acuta</i>	EPI_ISL_13566015
A/Env/HongKong/MP18_0131/2018 (H3N8)	2018 Nov 14	Mai Po Wetlands	<i>Anas clypeata</i>	EPI_ISL_13566016
A/Env/HongKong/MP18_0135/2018 (H3N8)	2018 Nov 14	Mai Po Wetlands	<i>Anas clypeata</i>	EPI_ISL_13566017

*GISAIID, <https://www.gisaid.org>.




Table 6. Seroprevalence of antibodies to human seasonal influenza virus A/Switzerland/8060/2017 (H3N2) and A/chicken/Hong Kong/MKT-AB13cp/2022 (H3N8) virus in age-stratified human serum samples from blood donors, Hong Kong, China, 2020*

Age group, y	No.	H3N2 HI titers, no. (%)		H3N8 HI titer, no. (%)		H3N2 GMT (95% CI)	H3N8 GMT (95% CI)
		≥1:10	≥1:40	≥1:10	≥1:40		
10–19	10	10 (100)	9 (90)	0	0	183.8 (74.8–451.7)	5 (5–5)
20–29	10	7 (70)	7 (70)	0	0	37.32 (11.8–118.6)	5 (5–5)
30–39	10	5 (50)	5 (50)	0	0	20 (6.7–59.9)	5 (5–5)
40–49	10	8 (80)	6 (60)	3 (30)	1 (10)	30.31 (12.6–73.1)	7.071 (4.4–11.5)
50–59	10	3 (30)	0	2 (20)	0	7.1 (4.6–10.8)	5.743 (4.7–7.1)
60–69	10	9 (90)	9 (90)	1 (10)	1 (10)	56.6 (25.8–123.9)	6.156 (3.9–9.9)
70–79	3	1 (33)	1 (3)	0	0	12.6 (0.2–671.9)	5 (5–5)
Total	63	43 (68.3)	37 (58.7)	6 (9.5)	2 (3.2)	32.8 (22.1–48.8)	5.6 (5.1–6.2)

*GMT, geometric mean titer; HI, hemagglutination inhibition.

each other and to an H3N8 virus associated with zoonotic disease in mainland China (Figure; Appendix Figure). The polymerase basic 1, polymerase basic 2, polymerase acidic, NA, nonstructural protein, and M gene segments were derived from the G57 sublineage of influenza A(H9N2) viruses commonly found in mainland China (23), whereas the HA gene sequences belong to the Eurasian avian H3 lineage, which has been detected in ducks and other wild birds (24).

The NA gene sequences of the poultry A(H3N8) viruses belonged to the North American lineage, but a closely related N8 NA sequence had previously been detected in A/Env/Hong Kong/MP16_1834/2016 (H3N8), a virus isolated on December 21, 2018, from the Mai Po Wetlands, Hong Kong, in 2018, obtained from a fecal specimen identified by DNA bar coding to be derived from a Northern pintail duck (*Anas acuta*) (Table 5). Two other H3N8 viruses isolated from fecal droppings collected from the Mai Po Wetlands on November 14, 2018, identified to be from a Northern shoveler duck (*Anus clypeata*) were genetically unrelated in all gene segments to the chicken H3N8 viruses. The N8 gene segment sequence also is closely related to other aquatic wild bird H3 viruses from mainland China. Other than for the N8 NA gene segment, none of the other gene segments of the poultry H3N8 viruses were derived from the wild bird H3N8 viruses detected in the Mai Po Wetlands of Hong Kong. These viruses were distinct from chicken H3N8 viruses previously reported in mainland China (25). However, 1 sequence of a virus from chicken similar in all 8 gene segments to our Hong Kong H3N8 viruses is available in virus genetic sequence databases (Figure).

The HI titer of the World Health Organization reference antiserum to human seasonal H3N2 virus A/Switzerland/8060/2017 against the homologous virus antigen was 1:128, and the titer against A/chicken/Hong Kong/MKT-AB13cp/2022 was <1:10, suggesting limited antigenic cross-reactivity of current human seasonal H3N2 viruses with these novel avian H3N8 viruses. The overall seroprevalence (HI titer ≥1:40) to A/chicken/Hong Kong/MKT-AB-13cp/2022 (H3N8) in age-stratified human serum samples was 3.2% (Table 6). In contrast, as expected, we found high (58.7%) seroprevalence to a recent human seasonal A/Switzerland/8060/2017 (H3N2) virus in this same panel of serum samples.

Human population immunity to a potentially zoonotic virus is a major parameter that is included in the risk assessment of animal viruses for a pandemic threat. We have described an approach to assess that risk by estimating the effect of age-stratified immunity in the human population by using HI tests on R_0 of such a virus if it were to become transmissible in humans (22). We found that the observed seroprevalence in humans would provide little or no resistance to such a virus, if it were to acquire other factors required for transmission between humans (Table 7).

Discussion

We report detection of chicken influenza A(H3N8) viruses from live poultry markets and farms in Hong Kong. These viruses were genetically similar to each other and to a recently reported zoonotic H3N8 virus in mainland China (6). The viruses were novel reassortants that have virus internal gene segments

Table 7. Estimates of effect of observed seroprevalence on human population immunity and reproductive numbers needed to cause a pandemic for novel zoonotic avian influenza virus A(H3N8) virus in chicken, Hong Kong, China*

Virus used	Estimate (95% CI)		
	Proportion of population immune	Relative reduction in reproduction number	Smallest reproductive number needed to cause a pandemic
A/Switzerland/8060/2017(H3N2)	0.393 (0.337–0.446)	0.375 (0.317–0.43)	1.601 (1.464–1.755)
A/chicken/Hong Kong/MKT0AB13cp.2022 (H3N8)	0.029 (0.012–0.058)	0.032 (0.013–0.061)	1.033 (1.013–1.066)

*See Nguyen et al. (17) for the methods used.

derived from H9N2 lineage genotype 57 viruses (A/chicken/Zhejiang/HJ/2007-like) established in poultry in mainland China, but the H3 and N8 gene segments were derived from wild aquatic bird influenza A viruses. The H9N2 virus internal gene cassette was previously reported to facilitate the emergence of reassortant influenza A viruses of zoonotic potential (26). These chicken H3N8 viruses in Hong Kong were distinct from H3N8 viruses reported from poultry in mainland China (25), but a A/chicken/China/Guangdong_01/2022 (H3N8) virus genetically similar to these viruses in all 8 gene segments is reported in public databases (Appendix Table). These H3N8 viruses were also distinct from H3N8 viruses reported in horses, dogs and cats (27–29).

These novel H3N8 viruses appear to have arisen in a manner analogous to the emergence of previous zoonotic H7N9 and H10N8 viruses, in which the H9N2 viruses enzootic in chicken and other game birds in China acquired HA and NA gene segments from wild, aquatic bird viruses. Wild aquatic birds share ecosystems with domestic ducks, and it is inevitable that influenza viruses will also be shared in such ecosystems. Subsequent trade systems in which domestic ducks and chickens (and other game birds) are mixed in close proximity within wholesale and retail poultry markets provide the opportunity for H9N2 viruses in chicken to acquire HA and NA gene segments from domestic ducks, as has been postulated in the emergence of H7N9 and H10N8 viruses (4).

Pandemics emerge when influenza viruses of birds, swine, or other mammals adapt to transmission between humans and when the human population lacks immunity to the hemagglutinin of the newly emerged virus. Cross-reactive immunity in humans is 1 parameter that is considered when risk assessing the pandemic threat from a newly emerged animal influenza virus (30). Our data suggest that there is little antigenic cross-reactivity between contemporary seasonal H3N2 viruses and the H3N8 virus. The overall HI test seroprevalence at a titer $\geq 1:40$ to H3N8 in age-stratified serum samples collected from blood donors in Hong Kong was 3.2%, and the estimated proportion of the population immune (weighted for age structure) was 2.9% (95% CI 1.2%–5.8%). We estimated that if this H3N8 virus acquired transmissibility between humans and acquired an $R_0 \geq 1.033$, cross-reactive population immunity would fail to impede its onward transmission in the human population. For comparison, similar estimation of the minimal R_0 required for the 2009 pandemic H1N1 virus to spread in face of

population immunity before its emergence and spread in 2009 was 1.231 (95% CI 1.185–1.292), a markedly higher threshold to cross (22).

In conclusion, we report the emergence of a novel influenza A(H3N8) virus in chickens in Hong Kong. This virus might have major zoonotic and pandemic potential. Our results indicate the need to enhance surveillance for this virus in poultry, carry out comprehensive risk assessment of such a virus, and prepare pandemic seed vaccine strains if justified by such risk assessment.

Acknowledgments

We thank Les Sims for providing technical advice on farm surveillance methods, Candy Lau for gene sequence analysis of the farm H3N8 viruses, and the World Health Organization Collaborating Centre for Reference and Research on Influenza (Melbourne, Victoria, Australia) for providing antiserum to A/Switzerland/8060/2017.

This study was supported by the Research Grants Committee of the Hong Kong Special Administrative region (T11-712/19-N) and the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, National Institutes of Health (contract no. U01AI151810 to M.P. and L.L.M.P.).

About the Author

Dr. Sit is the chief veterinary officer and assistant director of the Agriculture, Fisheries, and Conservation Department of the Government of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, Hong Kong, China. His primary research interest is veterinary public health.

References

1. Krammer F, Smith GJ, Fouchier RA, Peiris M, Kedzierska K, Doherty PC, et al. Influenza. *Nat Rev Dis Primers*. 2018;4:3. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41572-018-0002-y>
2. Fouchier RA, Guan Y. Ecology and evolution of influenza viruses in wild and domestic birds. In: Webster RG, Monto AS, Braciale TJ, Lamb RA, editors. *Textbook of influenza*, 2nd ed. Hoboken (NJ): John Wiley and Sons; 2013. p. 175–89.
3. Guan Y, Shortridge KF, Krauss S, Webster RG. Molecular characterization of H9N2 influenza viruses: were they the donors of the “internal” genes of H5N1 viruses in Hong Kong? *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 1999;96:9363–7. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.96.16.9363>
4. Lam TT, Zhou B, Wang J, Chai Y, Shen Y, Chen X, et al. Dissemination, divergence and establishment of H7N9 influenza viruses in China. *Nature*. 2015;522:102–5. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14348>
5. Ma C, Lam TT, Chai Y, Wang J, Fan X, Hong W, et al. Emergence and evolution of H10 subtype influenza viruses in poultry in China. *J Virol*. 2015;89:3534–41. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.03167-14>
6. World Health Organization. May 9, 2022. Disease outbreak news; avian influenza A (H3N8), China. 2022 [cited 2022 Jul 2].

- <https://www.who.int/emergencies/disease-outbreak-news/item/2022-DON378>
7. Leung YH, Zhang LJ, Chow CK, Tsang CL, Ng CF, Wong CK, et al. Poultry drinking water used for avian influenza surveillance. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2007;13:1380-2. <https://doi.org/10.3201/eid1309.070517>
 8. Munster VJ, Baas C, Lexmond P, Bestebroer TM, Guldemeester J, Beyer WE, et al. Practical considerations for high-throughput influenza A virus surveillance studies of wild birds by use of molecular diagnostic tests. *J Clin Microbiol*. 2009;47:666-73. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.01625-08>
 9. Elizalde M, Agüero M, Buitrago D, Yuste M, Arias ML, Muñoz MJ, et al. Rapid molecular haemagglutinin subtyping of avian influenza isolates by specific real-time RT-PCR tests. *J Virol Methods*. 2014;196:71-81. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jviromet.2013.10.031>
 10. Lee HK, Lee CK, Tang JW, Loh TP, Koay ES. Contamination-controlled high-throughput whole genome sequencing for influenza A viruses using the MiSeq sequencer. *Sci Rep*. 2016;6:33318. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep33318>
 11. Bankevich A, Nurk S, Antipov D, Gurevich AA, Dvorkin M, Kulikov AS, et al. SPAdes: a new genome assembly algorithm and its applications to single-cell sequencing. *J Comput Biol*. 2012;19:455-77. <https://doi.org/10.1089/cmb.2012.0021>
 12. Grubaugh ND, Gangavarapu K, Quick J, Matteson NL, De Jesus JG, Main BJ, et al. An amplicon-based sequencing framework for accurately measuring intrahost virus diversity using PrimalSeq and iVar. *Genome Biol*. 2019;20:8. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-018-1618-7>
 13. Chen S, Zhou Y, Chen Y, Gu J. fastp: an ultra-fast all-in-one FASTQ preprocessor. *Bioinformatics*. 2018;34:i884-90. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bty560>
 14. Camacho C, Coulouris G, Avagyan V, Ma N, Papadopoulos J, Bealer K, et al. BLAST+: architecture and applications. *BMC Bioinformatics*. 2009;10:421. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-10-421>
 15. Walker BJ, Abeel T, Shea T, Priest M, Abouelliel A, Sakthikumar S, et al. Pilon: an integrated tool for comprehensive microbial variant detection and genome assembly improvement. *PLoS One*. 2014;9:e112963. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0112963>
 16. Edgar RC. MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2004;32:1792-7. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkh340>
 17. Nguyen LT, Schmidt HA, von Haeseler A, Minh BQ. IQ-TREE: a fast and effective stochastic algorithm for estimating maximum-likelihood phylogenies. *Mol Biol Evol*. 2015;32:268-74. <https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msu300>
 18. Cheung PP, Leung YH, Chow CK, Ng CF, Tsang CL, Wu YO, et al. Identifying the species-origin of faecal droppings used for avian influenza virus surveillance in wild-birds. *J Clin Virol*. 2009;46:90-3. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcv.2009.06.016>
 19. Ratnasingham S, Hebert PD. bold: the barcode of life data system (<http://www.barcodinglife.org>). *Mol Ecol Notes*. 2007;7:355-64. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-8286.2007.01678.x>
 20. World Health Organization. WHO manual on animal influenza diagnosis and surveillance, 2002 [cited 2022 Jul 2]. <http://www.who.int/csr/resources/publications/influenza/en/whocdscsrncs20025rev.pdf>
 21. World Organisation for Animal Health.. Avian influenza. In: *Terrestrial manual*, 2018. p. 830-1 [cited 2022 Aug 11]. <https://www.woah.org/en/what-we-do/standards/codes-and-manuals/terrestrial-manual-online-access/</eref>
 22. Cheung JT, Tsang TK, Yen HL, Perera RA, Mok CK, Lin YP, et al. Determining existing human population immunity as part of assessing influenza pandemic risk. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2022;28:977-85. <https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2805.211965>
 23. Wang J, Jin X, Hu J, Wu Y, Zhang M, Li X, et al. Genetic evolution characteristics of genotype G57 virus, a dominant genotype of H9N2 avian influenza virus. *Front Microbiol*. 2021;12:633835. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2021.633835>
 24. Yang D, Liu J, Ju H, Ge F, Wang J, Li X, et al. Genetic analysis of H3N2 avian influenza viruses isolated from live poultry markets and poultry slaughterhouses in Shanghai, China in 2013. *Virus Genes*. 2015;51:25-32. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11262-015-1198-5>
 25. Cui H, Shi Y, Ruan T, Li X, Teng Q, Chen H, et al. Phylogenetic analysis and pathogenicity of H3 subtype avian influenza viruses isolated from live poultry markets in China. *Sci Rep*. 2016;6:27360. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep27360>
 26. Pu J, Wang S, Yin Y, Zhang G, Carter RA, Wang J, et al. Evolution of the H9N2 influenza genotype that facilitated the genesis of the novel H7N9 virus. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2015;112:548-53. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1422456112>
 27. Payungporn S, Crawford PC, Kouo TS, Chen LM, Pompey J, Castleman WL, et al. Influenza A virus (H3N8) in dogs with respiratory disease, Florida. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2008;14:902-8. <https://doi.org/10.3201/eid1406.071270>
 28. Chambers TM. Equine influenza. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med*. 2022;12:a038331. <https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a038331>
 29. Wasik BR, Voorhees IE, Parrish CR. Canine and feline influenza. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med*. 2021;11:a038562. <https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a038562>
 30. Cox NJ, Trock SC, Burke SA. Pandemic preparedness and the influenza risk assessment tool (IRAT). *Curr Top Microbiol Immunol*. 2014;385:119-36. https://doi.org/10.1007/82_2014_419

Address for correspondence: Malik Peiris, School of Public Health, The University of Hong Kong, No. 7 Sassoon Rd, Pokfulam, Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, Hong Kong, China; email: malik@hku.hk

Novel Zoonotic Avian Influenza A(H3N8) Virus in Chicken, Hong Kong, China

Appendix

Appendix Table. Virus gene accession numbers of sequences used in phylogenetic trees, Hong Kong, China*

Gene	Identification	GISAI accession no.
HA	A/canine/Zhejiang/S34/2015	EPI1226271
HA	A/Changsha/1000/2022	EPI2035832
HA	A/chicken/Bangladesh/2478/2019	EPI1888010
HA	A/chicken/China/Guangdong_01/2022	ON626399.1
HA	A/chicken/Guangdong/F117/2018	EPI1489636
HA	A/chicken/Guangxi/O15C10/2009	KT022237.1
HA	A/chicken/Nanjing/B854-2/2011	KU158890
HA	A/double-crested cormorant/California/20119-001/2007	CY075949.1
HA	A/duck/China/322D22/2018	MN443576.1
HA	A/duck/Guangxi/112D4/2012	KT022269.1
HA	A/duck/Hokkaido/OBF2/2018	LC496328.1
HA	A/duck/Hubei/ZYSYF12/2015	KY415604.1
HA	A/duck/Hunan/7/2015	KX121270.1
HA	A/duck/Mongolia/103/2011	LC339755.1
HA	A/duck/Nanchang/1681/1992	CY006016.1
HA	A/duck/Nanjing/A1591-1/2010	KU158889
HA	A/duck/Shanghai/120-1/2009	EPI774951
HA	A/duck/Siberia/100/2001	AB450457.1
HA	A/gadwall/Altai/1326/2007	CY049804.1
HA	A/green-winged teal/Alaska/239/2013	KY130977.1
HA	A/Henan/4-10CNIC/2022	EPI2026165
HA	A/Henan/4-14CNIC/2022	EPI2026173
HA	A/mallard/Interior Alaska/6MP0124/2006	CY078875.1
HA	A/northern pintail/Alaska/956/2012	KY130961.1
HA	A/northern shoveler/Alaska/7MP1708/2007	CY045439.1
HA	A/red crested pochard/Mongolia/1915/2006	GQ907326.1
HA	A/white-backed munia/Hong Kong/4519/2009	AB557631.1
M	A/chicken/Anhui/AH196/2015	MN135864.1
M	A/chicken/China/E743/2014	MN100789.1
M	A/chicken/China/Guangdong_01/2022	ON626400.1
M	A/chicken/Fujian/3.15_FZHX0029-O/2018	MW101045.1
M	A/chicken/Guangdong/12.29_SZBJ007-O/2016	MW103691.1
M	A/chicken/Guangxi/C227/2015	KX130848.1
M	A/chicken/Hainan/1.14_HKPL001-O/2018	MW101314.1
M	A/chicken/Hebei/BD2/2016	OM018678.1
M	A/Chicken/Hong Kong/G9/97	AF156416
M	A/Chicken/Hong Kong/G9/97	AF156472
M	A/chicken/Hubei/2014	KT164854.1
M	A/chicken/Nanjing/B854-2/2011	KU158897
M	A/chicken/Shaanxi/xa0414/2013	KM609625.1
M	A/Chicken/Shandong/6/96	EPI81796
M	A/Chicken/Shanghai/F/98	AY253751
M	A/chicken/Tianjin/614/2012	KF059287.1
M	A/chicken/Wuhan/JXQL01/2015	KU143327.1
M	A/Duck/China/F1053/2015	MN100837.1
M	A/Duck/Hong Kong/Y280/97	AF156475
M	A/duck/Nanjing/A1591-1/2010	KU158896
M	A/goose/China/146G30/2013	MN865901.1
M	A/Hong_Kong/3239/2008	CY055159.1
M	A/Jiangxi/1/2013	KM392414.1
M	A/pigeon/Fujian/3.15_FZHX0014-C/2018	MW101306.1
M	A/pigeon/Guizhou/11.30_ZYLJJ021-C/2016	MW110331.1


Gene	Identification	GISAIID accession no.
M	A/Quail/Hong Kong/G1/97	AF156477
M	A/Anhui-Luijiang/39/2018	EPI1315829
M	A/Changsha/1000/2022	EPI2035839
M	A/chicken/Dubai/338/2001	EPI110286
M	A/chicken/Guangxi/DX/2008	EPI610276
M	A/chicken/Zhejiang/HJ/2007	EPI221856
M	A/Duck/Hong_Kong/Y439/97	EPI6061
M	A/Environment/Jiangxi/14737/2014	EPI858161
M	A/Guangdong/W1/2004	EPI846050
M	A/Henan/4-10CNIC/2022	EPI2026162
M	A/Henan/4-14CNIC/2022	EPI2026170
M	A/Hunan/44558/2015	EPI680521
NA	A/American green-winged teal/Alaska/137896/2009	KX714444.1
NA	A/American green-winged teal/Interior Alaska/10BM08222R0/2010	CY143558.1
NA	A/aquatic bird/Korea/KN-3/2005	EU301277.1
NA	A/avian/Japan/8KI0195/2008	CY079213.1
NA	A/baikal teal/Shanghai/SH-90/2013	KJ907545.1
NA	A/black-tailed gull/Shandong/W1496/2020	OM373216.1
NA	A/canine/Zhejiang/S34/2015	EPI1226273
NA	A/Changsha/1000/2022	EPI2035833
NA	A/chicken/China/Guangdong_01/2022	ON626401.1
NA	A/chicken/Guangdong/F117/2018	EPI1489637
NA	A/chicken/Nanjing/B854-2/2011	KU158904
NA	A/double-crested cormorant/California/20119-001/2007	CY075951.1
NA	A/duck/Hokkaido/103/2014	LC339749.1
NA	A/duck/Nanjing/A1591-1/2010	KU158903
NA	A/duck/Osaka/1/2005	AB472032.1
NA	A/duck/Vietnam/HN5952/2019	MW935355.1
NA	A/emperor goose/Alaska/279/2012	KY130789.1
NA	A/environment/Korea/MHC5-33/2009	JN087354.1
NA	A/green-winged teal/Alaska/AK18-WB1-033A/2018	MN988080.1
NA	A/Henan/4-10CNIC/2022	EPI2026163
NA	A/Henan/4-14CNIC/2022	EPI2026171
NA	A/mallard/Interior Alaska/7MP0709/2007	CY045433.1
NA	A/mallard/South Korea/N07-0347/2007	MN530633.1
NA	A/Muscovy duck/Vietnam/HN6029/2019	MW873237.1
NA	A/northern pintail/Alaska/778/2012	KY130901.1
NA	A/northern pintail/Interior Alaska/1/2007	CY039780.1
NP	A/Anhui-Luijiang/39/2018	EPI1315827
NP	A/Changsha/1000/2022	EPI2035837
NP	A/Chicken/Beijing/1/94	AF156423
NP	A/chicken/China/F998/2015	MN100542.1
NP	A/chicken/China/Guangdong_01/2022	ON626402.1
NP	A/chicken/Dubai/338/2001	EPI110332
NP	A/chicken/Fujian/9.24_FZHX0073-C/2018	MW103321.1
NP	A/chicken/Ganzhou/GZ50/2015	KY415778.1
NP	A/chicken/Guangxi/C227/2015	KX130843.1
NP	A/chicken/Guangxi/DX/2008	EPI610279
NP	A/Chicken/Hong Kong/G9/97	AF156444
NP	A/chicken/Jiangxi/5.26_NCNP5Q2-OC/2017	MW106449.1
NP	A/chicken/Nanjing/B854-2/2011	KU158911
NP	A/chicken/Shaanxi/xa0414/2013	KM609705.1
NP	A/Chicken/Shandong/6/96	EPI81909
NP	A/chicken/Vietnam/H7F-14-BN4-437/2014	MH560138.1
NP	A/chicken/Zhejiang/HJ/2007	EPI221852
NP	A/Duck/China/F3143/2015	MN100556.1
NP	A/duck/Fujian/1.25_FZHX0022-C/2018	MW099128.1
NP	A/Duck/Hong Kong/Y280/97	AF156419
NP	A/Duck/Hong_Kong/Y439/97	EPI5945
NP	A/duck/Japan/AQ-HE5/2015	LC208505.1
NP	A/duck/Jiangxi/09.22_NCDZT43C2-O/2016	MW098624.1
NP	A/duck/Jiangxi/4.30_NCNP96N2-OC/2017	MW108841.1
NP	A/duck/Nanjing/A1591-1/2010	KU158910
NP	A/Environment/Jiangxi/14737/2014	EPI858159
NP	A/goose/China/146G30/2013	MN865899.1
NP	A/Guangdong/MZ058/2016	KX808589.1
NP	A/Guangdong/W1/2004	EPI846048

Gene	Identification	GISAIID accession no.
NP	A/Henan/4-10CNIC/2022	EPI2026164
NP	A/Henan/4-14CNIC/2022	EPI2026172
NP	A/Hong_Kong/3239/2008	CY055157.1
NP	A/Hunan/44558/2015	EPI680519
NP	A/Jiangxi/1/2013	KM392412.1
NP	A/pigeon/Fujian/3.15_FZHX0008-C/2018	MW099166.1
NP	A/Quail/Hong Kong/G1/97	AF156407
NP	A/Quail/Hong Kong/G1/97	AF156421
NS	A/Anhui-Luijiang/39/2018	EPI1315826
NS	A/Changsha/1000/2022	EPI2035838
NS	A/Chicken/Beijing/1/94	AF156409
NS	A/chicken/China/63/2019	MN263214.1
NS	A/chicken/China/Guangdong_01/2022	ON626403.1
NS	A/chicken/Daye/DY0602/2017	MF795003.1
NS	A/chicken/Dubai/338/2001	EPI110370
NS	A/chicken/Fujian/6.22_FZHX0627-O/2017	MW103180.1
NS	A/chicken/Ganzhou/GZ86/2016	KY415947.1
NS	A/chicken/Guangxi/DX/2008	EPI610275
NS	A/chicken/Hebei/BD2/2016	OM019055.1
NS	A/chicken/Hebei/HS8/2019	OM019059.1
NS	A/chicken/Hunan/1.17_YYGKK200-OC/2017	MW104916.1
NS	A/chicken/Jiangxi/10.31_NCDZT019-O/2018	MW105828.1
NS	A/chicken/Nanjing/B854-2/2011	KU158918
NS	A/chicken/Ningxia/12.01_XXYN012-O/2016	MW106636.1
NS	A/chicken/Shandong/3424/2016	MH667576.1
NS	A/Chicken/Shandong/6/96	EPI81985
NS	A/Chicken/Shanghai/F/98	AY253752
NS	A/Chicken/Shanghai/F/98	AY253753
NS	A/Chicken/Shanghai/F/98	AY253755
NS	A/Chicken/Shanghai/F/98	AY253756
NS	A/chicken/Taishun/TS2/2016	KY415941.1
NS	A/chicken/Zhejiang/HJ/2007	EPI221857
NS	A/Duck/Hong_Kong/Y439/97	EPI6103
NS	A/duck/Hunan/5.24_YYGK93P2-OC/2017	MW108428.1
NS	A/duck/Nanjing/A1591-1/2010	KU158917
NS	A/Environment/Jiangxi/14737/2014	EPI858160
NS	A/Guangdong/W1/2004	EPI846051
NS	A/Henan/4-10CNIC/2022	EPI2026161
NS	A/Henan/4-14CNIC/2022	EPI2026169
NS	A/Hong_Kong/3239/2008	CY055160.1
NS	A/Hunan/44558/2015	EPI680520
NS	A/Jiangxi/1/2013	KM392415.1
PA	A/Anhui-Luijiang/39/2018	EPI1315825
PA	A/Athene noctua/Tianjin/Y14/2017	MH114057.1
PA	A/Changsha/1000/2022	EPI2035836
PA	A/chicken/Anhui/LH99/2017	MH489450.1
PA	A/Chicken/Beijing/1/94	AF156466
PA	A/Chicken/Beijing/1/94	AF156480
PA	A/chicken/China/93/2017	MN385408.1
PA	A/chicken/China/Guangdong_01/2022	ON626404.1
PA	A/chicken/China/H1072/2017	MN100439.1
PA	A/chicken/Dubai/338/2001	EPI110388
PA	A/chicken/Fujian/1.25_FZHX0003-O/2018	MW102847.1
PA	A/chicken/Guangdong/1.25_SZBJ014-O/2018	MW096458.1
PA	A/chicken/Guangxi/DX/2008	EPI610280
PA	A/chicken/Guizhou/06.30_ZYLJJ002-O/2018	MW104255.1
PA	A/chicken/Hunan/6.27_YYGK57S2-OC/2017	MW105183.1
PA	A/chicken/Jiangxi/6.26_NCDZT48R2-OC/2017	MW106487.1
PA	A/chicken/Nanjing/B854-2/2011	KU158925
PA	A/chicken/Neimenggu/NMG7/2018	OM019053.1
PA	A/chicken/Qingyuan/zd201602/2016	MK250032.1
PA	A/chicken/Shandong/01.26_TAWL012-O/2019	MW106711.1
PA	A/Chicken/Shandong/6/96	EPI82044
PA	A/chicken/Shandong/98/2018	MW389301.1
PA	A/chicken/Tajikistan/2379/2018	MW786968.1
PA	A/chicken/Xinjiang/3.18_WLMQXL023-C/2017	MW096521.1
PA	A/chicken/Zhejiang/HJ/2007	EPI221854
PA	A/duck/Guangdong/8.30_DGCP06-O/2017	MW096611.1

Gene	Identification	GISAIID accession no.
PA	A/Duck/Hong Kong/Y280/97	AF156405
PA	A/Duck/Hong Kong/Y280/97	AF156461
PA	A/Duck/Hong Kong/Y439/97	EPI6029
PA	A/duck/Hunan/6.27_YYGK65S2-OC/2017	MW108455.1
PA	A/duck/Jiangxi/1.31_NCNP32I3-OC/2018	MW096283.1
PA	A/duck/Nanjing/A1591-1/2010	KU158924
PA	A/Environment/Jiangxi/14737/2014	EPI858162
PA	A/environment/Shandong/1.26_TAWL004-E/2019	MW109775.1
PA	A/Guangdong/HP001/2017	KY643841.1
PA	A/Guangdong/W1/2004	EPI846046
PA	A/Henan/4-10CNIC/2022	EPI2026166
PA	A/Henan/4-14CNIC/2022	EPI2026174
PA	A/Hong_Kong/3239/2008	CY055155.1
PA	A/Hunan/44558/2015	EPI680522
PA	A/Jiangxi/1/2013	KM392410.1
PA	A/Quail/Hong Kong/G1/97	AF156463
PB1	A/Anhui-Lujiang/39/2018	EPI1315824
PB1	A/Beijing/1/2013	KJ476631.1
PB1	A/Changsha/1000/2022	EPI2035835
PB1	A/chicken/Beijing/0331/2013	KM609837.1
PB1	A/Chicken/Beijing/1/94	AF156452
PB1	A/chicken/China/795/2014	MK446866.1
PB1	A/chicken/China/Guangdong_01/2022	ON626405.1
PB1	A/chicken/Dubai/338/2001	EPI110349
PB1	A/chicken/Fujian/MQ01/2015	MT774534.1
PB1	A/chicken/Guangxi/DX/2008	EPI610273
PB1	A/Chicken/Hong Kong/G9/97	AF156402
PB1	A/Chicken/Hong Kong/G9/97	AF156458
PB1	A/chicken/Jinan/3952/2013	KP415266.1
PB1	A/chicken/Juxian/3387/2013	KP415242.1
PB1	A/chicken/Nanjing/B854-2/2011	KU158932
PB1	A/chicken/Rizhao/515/2013	KF260709.1
PB1	A/Chicken/Shandong/6/96	EPI82098
PB1	A/chicken/Shaoxing/5088/2013	KP417192.1
PB1	A/chicken/Suzhou/040201H/2013	KM879363.1
PB1	A/chicken/Wuxi/0405005/2013	KT779599.1
PB1	A/chicken/Zhejiang/HJ/2007	EPI221859
PB1	A/chicken/Zhejiang/S1190/2015	MF630363.1
PB1	A/Duck/China/F1206/2015	MN100268.1
PB1	A/Duck/Hong Kong/Y280/97	AF156447
PB1	A/Duck/Hong Kong/Y439/97	EPI5973
PB1	A/duck/Nanjing/A1591-1/2010	KU158931
PB1	A/Duck/Shandong/Y2/2017	MH375437.1
PB1	A/Environment/Jiangxi/14737/2014	EPI858164
PB1	A/environment/Jilin/04.11_CCHSL006-E/2018	MW109726.1
PB1	A/Guangdong/W1/2004	EPI846045
PB1	A/Hangzhou/1/2013	KF001508.1
PB1	A/Henan/4-10CNIC/2022	EPI2026168
PB1	A/Henan/4-14CNIC/2022	EPI2026176
PB1	A/Hong_Kong/3239/2008	CY055154.1
PB1	A/Hunan/44558/2015	EPI680524
PB1	A/Jiangxi/1/2013	KM392409.1
PB1	A/mink/Shandong/Z1/2015	KY272073.1
PB1	A/pigeon/Jiangsu/K113/2013	KP185908.1
PB1	A/Quail/Hong Kong/G1/97	AF156449
PB1	A/quail/Zhejiang/2A6/2013	KU042150.1
PB1	A/silkie chicken/Shaoxing/2471/2013	KP415311.1
PB1	A/wild chicken/Shanghai/C1/2014	KJ726730.1
PB2	A/Anhui-Lujiang/39/2018	EPI1315823
PB2	A/Anser fabalis/Anhui/L139/2014	KT699053.1
PB2	A/Anser fabalis/China/HuBS428/2014	KM076701.1
PB2	A/Changsha/1000/2022	EPI2035834
PB2	A/Chicken/Beijing/1/94	AF156438.1
PB2	A/chicken/China/828/2015	MK446792.1
PB2	A/chicken/China/Guangdong_01/2022	ON626406.1
PB2	A/chicken/Dongguan/1674/2014	KP416443.1
PB2	A/chicken/Dubai/338/2001	EPI110402
PB2	A/chicken/Guangdong/SD027/2017	MF630106.1

Gene	Identification	GISAIID accession no.
PB2	A/chicken/Guangxi/DX/2008	EPI610278
PB2	A/Chicken/Hong Kong/G9/97	AF156430.1
PB2	A/chicken/Hubei/S0485/2015	MN647243.1
PB2	A/chicken/Jiangxi/29086/2013	KP285282.1
PB2	A/chicken/Nanjing/B854-2/2011	KU158939
PB2	A/Chicken/Shandong/6/96	EPI82152
PB2	A/Chicken/Shanghai/F/98	AY253750
PB2	A/chicken/Shantou/4832/2014	KP418213.1
PB2	A/chicken/Suzhou/4837/2013	KP414747.1
PB2	A/chicken/Zhejiang/HJ/2007	EPI221853
PB2	A/chicken/Zhejiang/SC324/2013	KM113058.1
PB2	A/Duck/China/F3143/2015	MN099548.1
PB2	A/Duck/Hong Kong/Y280/97	AF156433.1
PB2	A/Duck/Hong_Kong/Y439/97	EPI6001
PB2	A/duck/Hubei/S1035/2014	MN647475.1
PB2	A/duck/Nanjing/A1591-1/2010	KU158938
PB2	A/duck/Wuhan/WHYF14/2014	KU143587.1
PB2	A/enviroment/Hubei/S0879/2014	MN647491.1
PB2	A/environment/Hunan/27420/2014	KT356726.1
PB2	A/Environment/Jiangxi/14737/2014	EPI858163
PB2	A/Guangdong/W1/2004	EPI846044
PB2	A/Henan/4-10CNIC/2022	EPI2026167
PB2	A/Henan/4-14CNIC/2022	EPI2026175
PB2	A/Hong_Kong/3239/2008	CY055153.1
PB2	A/Hunan/44558/2015	EPI680523
PB2	A/Jiangxi/1/2013	KM392408.1
PB2	A/Quail/Hong Kong/G1/97	AF156435.1
PB2	A/wild birds/Hubei/45/2014	MH991752.1
PB2	A/WuXi/0126/2014	MG214182.1

*HA, hemagglutinin; M, matrix; NA, neuraminidase; NP, nucleoprotein, NS, nonstructural protein; PA, polymerase acidic; PB, polymerase basic.



Appendix Figure. Phylogenetic analysis of influenza A(H3N8) viruses isolated from chicken farms, live poultry markets, and the Mai Po Wetlands, Hong Kong, China. Viruses listed in Table 5 were analyzed with other relevant virus sequence data available in public data bases (accession numbers in Appendix Table). Trees were generated by using IQ-tree (www.iqtree.org) with the general time reversible + gamma model. Bootstrap values $\geq 80\%$ are shown. Scale bars indicate estimated genetic distance. Influenza A(H3N8) viruses isolated from chicken farms, live poultry markets, and the Mai Po Wetlands in Hong Kong are indicated in red. Bold indicates sequences isolated in this study. A) Polymerase basic 1 gene segment; B) polymerase acidic gene segment; C) nucleoprotein gene segment; D) matrix gene segment; E) nonstructural protein gene segment.